## **AGENDA**

Meeting: Economy Committee

Date: Thursday 3 February 2022

Time: 10.00 am

Place: G02 – G03 – G04, LFB Headquarters,

169 Union Street, London, SE1 OLL

Copies of the reports and any attachments may be found on our website at <a href="https://www.london.gov.uk/about-us/london-assembly/london-assembly-committees">www.london.gov.uk/about-us/london-assembly/london-assembly-committees</a>.

Most meetings of the London Assembly and its Committees are webcast live on <a href="https://www.london.gov.uk/about-us/london-assembly/youtube">www.london.gov.uk/about-us/london-assembly/youtube</a> and <a href="https://www.london.gov.uk/media-centre/london-assembly">www.london.gov.uk/media-centre/london-assembly</a> where you can also view past meetings.

#### Members of the Committee

Neil Garratt AM (Chairman) Krupesh Hirani AM Hina Bokhari AM (Deputy Chair) Zack Polanski AM Marina Ahmad AM Sakina Sheikh AM

Shaun Bailey AM

A meeting of the Committee has been called by the Chairman of the Committee to deal with the business listed below.

Proper Officer: Mary Harpley, Chief Officer

Wednesday 26 January 2022

#### **Further Information**

If you have questions, would like further information about the meeting or require special facilities please contact: Lauren Harvey, Senior Committee Officer; Telephone: 07729 625571; Email: lauren.harvey@london.gov.uk. For media enquiries please contact: Emma Bowden, External Communications Officer; Telephone: 07849 308897; Email: emma.bowden@london.gov.uk. If you have any questions about individual items please contact the author whose details are at the end of the report.

This meeting will be open to the public, except for where exempt information is being discussed as noted on the agenda. The meeting will comply with applicable Government guidance and Regulations in relation to Covid-19. It is suggested that any member of the press or public wishing to attend the meeting in-person contacts the clerk (listed above) in advance. A guide for the press and public on attending and reporting meetings of local government bodies, including the use of film, photography, social media and other means is available online at Openness in Meetings.pdf. There is access for disabled people, and induction loops are available. Please contact Facilities Management on 020 7983 4750 in advance if you require a parking space or further information.

If you, or someone you know, needs a copy of the agenda, minutes or reports in large print or Braille, audio, or in another language, then please call us on 020 7983 4100 or email <a href="mailto:assembly.translations@london.gov.uk">assembly.translations@london.gov.uk</a>.

Si usted, o algún conocido desea recibir una copia del order del dia, acta o informe en Braille o en su propio idioma, y gratis, no dude en ponerse en contacto con nosotros llamando al teléfano 020 7983 4100 o por correo electrónico: assembly.translations@london.gov.uk.

Se você, ou algúem que conheça precisa uma cópia da ordem do dia, anotações ou relatorios em prensa grande ou Braille, ou em outra lingu, então por favour nos telephone em 020 7983 4100 ou e-mail assembly.translations@london.gov.uk.

Haddii ama ama qof aad taqaanid, uu ugu baahan yahay koobiga ajendhada, haddaladii ama warbixinta in far waaweyn loogu qoro ama farta qofka indoolaha akhrin karo, amaba luuqad kale, fadlan naga soo wac telefoonkan 020 7983 4100 ama email assembly.translations @london.gov.uk.

Ta ba ri enikeni ti o ba ni ife ni eda ewe nla ti igbimo awon asoju tabi papa julo ni ede ti abinibi won, ki o kansiwa lori ero ibanisoro. Nomba wa ni 020 7983 4100 tabi ki e kan si wa lori ero assembly.translations@london.gov.uk.

જો તમને અથવા તમે જાણતાં હો તેવી કોઈ વ્યક્તિને એજન્ડા (કાર્યસૂચિ), મિનિટ્સ (ટૂંકી નોંધો) અથવા રિપોર્ટ્સ (અહેવાલો)ની નકલ મોટા અક્ષરોમાં છપાયેલી કે બ્રેઈલમાં અથવા બીજી કોઈ ભાષામાં જોઈતી હોય, તો કૃપા કરીને 020 7983 4100 ઉપર ફોન અથવા assembly.translations@london.gov.uk ઉપર અમને ઈ-મેઈલ કરો.

আপনি বা আপনার পরিচিত কেউ যদি এজেন্ডা, মিনিট বা রিপোর্টের একটি কপি বড় ছাপা বা ব্রেইল অথবা অন্য কোন ভাষায় পেতে চান তবে দয়া করে আমাদেরকে 020 7983 4100 এ নাম্বারে ফোন করুন বা assembly.translations@london.gov.uk এ ই-মেইলে যোগাযোগ করুন।

ਜੇ ਤੁਹਾਨੂੰ ਜਾਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਵਾਕਫ਼ ਕਿਸੇ ਹੋਰ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਨੂੰ, ਏਜੰਡੇ, ਮੀਟਿੰਗ ਦੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਂ ਰਿਪੋਰਟਾਂ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ, ਵੱਡੇ ਅੱਖਰਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਛਪਾਈ ਜਾਂ ਬਰੇਲ ਦੇ ਰੂਪ ਵਿੱਚ ਜਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਹੋਰ ਬੋਲੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਚਾਹੀਦੀ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਕਿਰਪਾ ਕਰਕੇ ਸਾਨੂੰ 020 7983 4100 'ਤੇ ਟੈਲੀਫ਼ੁਨ ਕਰੋ ਜਾਂ ਇਸ ਪਤੇ 'ਤੇ ਈਮੇਲ ਕਰੋ : assembly.translations@london.gov.uk

اگرآپ یا آپ کے جانبے والے کسی فردکواس ایجنڈا کی کا بی ہفصیل یار پورٹیس بڑے پرنٹ یابریل یاکسی دوسری زبان میں درکارہوں تو براہ کرم ہمیں 020 7983 4100 پر فون سیجئے یا درج ذیل ای میل پر رابطہ سیجئے assembly.translations@london.gov.uk



Certificate Number: FS 80233

### **Agenda**

### **Economy Committee**

## **Thursday 3 February 2022**

## 1 Apologies for Absence and Chairman's Announcements

To receive any apologies for absence and any announcements from the Chairman.

## **Declarations of Interests** (Pages 1 - 4)

Report of the Executive Director of Assembly Secretariat

Contact: Lauren Harvey, lauren.harvey@london.gov.uk, 07729 625571

#### The Committee is recommended to:

- (a) Note the list of offices held by Assembly Members, as set out in the table at Agenda Item 2, as disclosable pecuniary interests;
- (b) Note the declaration by any Member(s) of any disclosable pecuniary interests in specific items listed on the agenda and the necessary action taken by the Member(s) regarding withdrawal following such declaration(s); and
- (c) Note the declaration by any Member(s) of any other interests deemed to be relevant (including any interests arising from gifts and hospitality received which are not at the time of the meeting reflected on the Authority's register of gifts and hospitality, and noting also the advice from the GLA's Monitoring Officer set out at Agenda Item 2) and to note any necessary action taken by the Member(s) following such declaration(s).

## 3 Chairing Arrangements for the Committee

The Committee is recommended to note the chairing arrangements for the Economy Committee, as agreed by the London Assembly at the Mayor's Question Time meeting on 20 January 2022, as follows:

Neil Garratt AM (Chairman)

Hina Bokhari AM (Deputy Chair)

#### **4 Minutes** (Pages 5 - 40)

The Committee is recommended to confirm the minutes of the meeting of the Economy Committee held on 10 December 2021 to be signed by the Chairman as a correct record.

## **5 Summary List of Actions** (Pages 41 - 58)

Report of the Executive Director of Assembly Secretariat

Contact: Lauren Harvey, <a href="mailto:lauren.harvey@london.gov.uk">lauren.harvey@london.gov.uk</a>, 07729 625571

The Committee is recommended to note the completed and ongoing actions arising from its previous meetings.

## **6 Action Taken Under Delegated Authority** (Pages 59 - 82)

Report of the Executive Director of Assembly Secretariat

Contact: Lauren Harvey, <a href="mailto:lauren.harvey@london.gov.uk">lauren.harvey@london.gov.uk</a>, 07729 625571

The Committee is recommended to note the recent action taken by the Chairman of the Economy Committee under delegated authority, following consultation with the party Group Lead Members, namely to agree the Committee's report *Night vision:* rebuilding London's night-time economy, as attached at Appendix 1.

## 7 Apprenticeships in London (Pages 83 - 86)

Report of the Executive Director of Assembly Secretariat

Contact: Dan Tattersall, daniel.tattersall@london.gov.uk, 07783 805825

#### The Committee is recommended to:

- (a) Note the report as background to putting questions to invited guests and note the subsequent discussion; and
- (b) Delegate authority to the Chairman, in consultation with party Group Lead Members, to agree any output arising from the discussion.

## **8 Economy Committee Work Programme** (Pages 87 - 88)

Report of the Executive Director of Assembly Secretariat

Contact: Dan Tattersall, daniel.tattersall@london.gov.uk; 07783 805825

The Committee is recommended to note its work programme for the remainder of the 2021/22 Assembly year.

#### 9 Date of Next Meeting

The next meeting of the Committee is scheduled for 15 March 2022 at 10.00am.

10 Any Other Business the Chairman Considers Urgent



## **Subject: Declarations of Interests**

Report to:	Economy Committee
Report of:	Executive Director of Assembly Secretariat
Date:	3 February 2022
Public Access:	This report will be considered in public

## 1. Summary

1.1 This report sets out details of offices held by Assembly Members for noting as disclosable pecuniary interests and requires additional relevant declarations relating to disclosable pecuniary interests, and gifts and hospitality to be made.

#### 2. Recommendations

- 2.1 That the list of offices held by Assembly Members, as set out in the table below, be noted as disclosable pecuniary interests;
- 2.2 That the declaration by any Member(s) of any disclosable pecuniary interests in specific items listed on the agenda and the necessary action taken by the Member(s) regarding withdrawal following such declaration(s) be noted; and
- 2.3 That the declaration by any Member(s) of any other interests deemed to be relevant (including any interests arising from gifts and hospitality received which are not at the time of the meeting reflected on the Authority's register of gifts and hospitality, and noting also the advice from the GLA's Monitoring Officer set out at below) and any necessary action taken by the Member(s) following such declaration(s) be noted.

#### 3. Issues for Consideration

3.1 The Monitoring Officer advises that: Paragraph 10 of the Code of Conduct will only preclude a Member from participating in any matter to be considered or being considered at, for example, a meeting of the Assembly, where the Member has a direct Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in that particular matter. The effect of this is that the 'matter to be considered, or being considered' must be about the Member's interest. So, by way of example, if an Assembly Member is also a councillor of London Borough X, that Assembly Member will be precluded from participating in an Assembly

City Hall, Kamal Chunchie Way, London, E16 1ZE Enquiries: 020 7983 4100 <a href="https://www.london.gov.uk">www.london.gov.uk</a>

Page 1 V4/2021

meeting where the Assembly is to consider a matter about the Member's role / employment as a councillor of London Borough X; the Member will not be precluded from participating in a meeting where the Assembly is to consider a matter about an activity or decision of London Borough X.

3.2 Relevant offices held by Assembly Members are listed in the table below:

## **Assembly Member Interests**

Member	Interest
Marina Ahmad AM	
Shaun Bailey AM	
Elly Baker AM	
Siân Berry AM	Member, London Borough of Camden
Emma Best AM	Member, London Borough of Waltham Forest
Andrew Boff AM	Congress of Local and Regional Authorities (Council of Europe)
Hina Bokhari AM	Member, London Borough of Merton
Anne Clarke AM	Member, London Borough of Barnet
Léonie Cooper AM	Member, London Borough of Wandsworth
Unmesh Desai AM	
Tony Devenish AM	Member, City of Westminster
Len Duvall AM	
Peter Fortune AM	Member, London Borough of Bromley
Neil Garratt AM	Member, London Borough of Sutton
Susan Hall AM	Member, London Borough of Harrow
Krupesh Hirani AM	Member, London Borough of Brent
Joanne McCartney AM	Deputy Mayor
Sem Moema AM	Member, London Borough of Hackney
Caroline Pidgeon MBE AM	
Zack Polanski AM	
Keith Prince AM	
Nicholas Rogers AM	
Caroline Russell AM	Member, London Borough of Islington
Dr Onkar Sahota AM	
Sakina Sheikh AM	Member, London Borough of Lewisham

- 3.4 Paragraph 10 of the GLA's Code of Conduct, which reflects the relevant provisions of the Localism Act 2011, provides that:
  - where an Assembly Member has a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in any matter to be considered or being considered or at
    - (i) a meeting of the Assembly and any of its committees or sub-committees; or
    - (ii) any formal meeting held by the Mayor in connection with the exercise of the Authority's functions
  - they must disclose that interest to the meeting (or, if it is a sensitive interest, disclose the fact that they have a sensitive interest to the meeting); and
  - must not (i) participate, or participate any further, in any discussion of the matter at the meeting; or (ii) participate in any vote, or further vote, taken on the matter at the meeting

#### **UNLESS**

- they have obtained a dispensation from the GLA's Monitoring Officer (in accordance with section 2 of the Procedure for registration and declarations of interests, gifts and hospitality Appendix 5 to the Code).
- 3.5 Failure to comply with the above requirements, without reasonable excuse, is a criminal offence; as is knowingly or recklessly providing information about your interests that is false or misleading.
- In addition, the Monitoring Officer has advised Assembly Members to continue to apply the test that was previously applied to help determine whether a pecuniary / prejudicial interest was arising namely, that Members rely on a reasonable estimation of whether a member of the public, with knowledge of the relevant facts, could, with justification, regard the matter as so significant that it would be likely to prejudice the Member's judgement of the public interest.
- 3.7 Members should then exercise their judgement as to whether or not, in view of their interests and the interests of others close to them, they should participate in any given discussions and/or decisions business of within and by the GLA. It remains the responsibility of individual Members to make further declarations about their actual or apparent interests at formal meetings noting also that a Member's failure to disclose relevant interest(s) has become a potential criminal offence.
- 3.8 Members are also required, where considering a matter which relates to or is likely to affect a person from whom they have received a gift or hospitality with an estimated value of at least £50 within the previous three years or from the date of election to the London Assembly, whichever is the later, to disclose the existence and nature of that interest at any meeting of the Authority which they attend at which that business is considered.
- 3.9 The obligation to declare any gift or hospitality at a meeting is discharged, subject to the proviso set out below, by registering gifts and hospitality received on the Authority's on-line database. The gifts and hospitality database may be viewed online.

- 3.10 If any gift or hospitality received by a Member is not set out on the online database at the time of the meeting, and under consideration is a matter which relates to or is likely to affect a person from whom a Member has received a gift or hospitality with an estimated value of at least £50, Members are asked to disclose these at the meeting, either at the declarations of interest agenda item or when the interest becomes apparent.
- 3.11 It is for Members to decide, in light of the particular circumstances, whether their receipt of a gift or hospitality, could, on a reasonable estimation of a member of the public with knowledge of the relevant facts, with justification, be regarded as so significant that it would be likely to prejudice the Member's judgement of the public interest. Where receipt of a gift or hospitality could be so regarded, the Member must exercise their judgement as to whether or not, they should participate in any given discussions and/or decisions business of within and by the GLA.

## 4. Legal Implications

4.1 The legal implications are as set out in the body of this report.

## 5. Financial Implications

5.1 There are no financial implications arising directly from this report.

## List of appendices to this report:

None

## Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

List of Background Papers: None

#### **Contact Information**

Contact Officer:	Lauren Harvey, Senior Committee Officer
Telephone:	07729 625571
E-mail:	lauren.harvey@london.gov.uk

# **MINUTES**

Meeting: Economy Committee

Date: Friday 10 December 2021

Time: 10.00 am

Place: G02 – G03 – G04, LFB Headquarters,

169 Union Street, London, SE1 OLL

Copies of the minutes may be found at:

www.london.gov.uk/about-us/london-assembly/london-assembly-committees

Present:

Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman)

Hina Bokhari AM (Deputy Chair)

Marina Ahmad AM

Neil Garratt AM

Krupesh Hirani AM

Zack Polanski AM

Sakina Sheikh AM

- 1 Apologies for Absence and Chairman's Announcements (Item 1)
- 1.1 There were no apologies for absence.
- 2 Declarations of Interests (Item 2)
- 2.1 The Committee received the report of the Executive Director of Assembly Secretariat.
- 2.2 **Resolved:**

That the list of offices held by Assembly Members, as set out in the table at Agenda Item 2, be noted as disclosable pecuniary interests.

Page 5 V2/2021

## Greater London Authority Economy Committee Friday 10 December 2021

## 3 Minutes (Item 3)

#### 3.1 Resolved:

That the minutes of the meeting of the Economy Committee held on 10 November 2021 be signed by the Chairman as a correct record.

## 4 Summary List of Actions (Item 4)

4.1 The Committee received the report of the Executive Director of Assembly Secretariat.

#### 4.2 **Resolved:**

That the completed and ongoing actions arising from previous meetings of the Economy Committee be noted.

## 5 The Future of London's Financial and Professional Services (Item 5)

- 5.1 The Committee received the report of the Executive Director of Assembly Secretariat as background to putting questions on the future of London's financial and professional services to the following invited guests:
  - Rajesh Agrawal, Deputy Mayor for Business;
  - Allen Simpson, Interim Chief Executive, London & Partners (L&P);
  - Anna Purchas, London Region Chair and Office Senior Partner, KPMG;
  - Professor Sarah Hall, Senior Fellow, The UK in a Changing Europe; and
  - Miles Celic, Chief Executive, The CityUK.
- 5.2 A transcript of the discussion is attached at **Appendix 1**.
- 5.3 During the course of the discussion, Members requested the following additional information from the Deputy Mayor for Business:
  - The reason that the publication of the Green Finance Initiative interim report was delayed;
  - Details on each of the Mayor's business boards, including their roles; and
  - Details on what the Mayor's International Business Programme has achieved.
- 5.4 The Interim Chief Executive, L&P, agreed to provide:
  - A copy of the L&P strategy for 2018-2021;
  - Further information on why the Government would provide funding to L&P to promote tourism in London and not UK tourism; and
  - Further information on L&P's methodology when calculating success.

## Greater London Authority Economy Committee Friday 10 December 2021

		Filday To December 2021		
5.5	The Deputy Mayor for Business also suggested that L&P arranges a meeting with Assembly Members to provide additional information on the metrics they used and how they work.			
5.6	Reso	Resolved:		
	(a)	That the report and subsequent discussion be noted.		
	(b)	That authority be delegated to the Chairman, in consultation with party Group Lead Members, to agree any output arising from the discussion.		
6	Economy Committee Work Programme (Item 6)			
6.1	The Committee received the report of the Executive Director of Assembly Secretariat.			
6.2	Resolved:			
		the Committee's work programme for the remainder of the 2021/22 Assembly be noted.		
7	Date	e of Next Meeting (Item 7)		
7.1	The d	late of the next meeting of the Committee was confirmed as Thursday 3 February 2022 at Dam.		
8	Any	Other Business the Chairman Considers Urgent (Item 8)		
8.1	There	e were no items of business that the Chairman considered to be urgent.		
9	Clos	e of Meeting		
9.1	The r	neeting ended at 12.11pm.		
Chair	man			

Contact Officer: Lauren Harvey, Senior Committee Officer; Telephone: 07729 625571; Email: lauren.harvey@london.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

## London Assembly Economy Committee - Friday, 10 December 2021

## Transcript of Agenda Item 5 – The Future of London's Financial and Professional Services

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** We now move on to the main item of business today, our discussion with invited guests on the future of London's financial services and professional services. I would like to welcome our guests, Allen Simpson, Interim Chief Executive, London & Partners (L&P); Rajesh Agrawal, Deputy Mayor for Business; Anna Purchas, London Region Chair and Office Senior Partner, KPMG; and joining us over Zoom are Professor Sarah Hall, Senior Fellow, The UK in a Changing Europe; and Miles Celic, Chief Executive for TheCityUK.

As is our tradition, I will ask the first one or two questions and then we will go from there. Good morning again to all our guests and thank you for attending. Thank you, Deputy Mayor, because I know you are our best attendee, very pleased to see you. I will ask this question to Miles and the Professor to start with and then I will come to you probably later on, Rajesh.

As the world recovers from the impact of the pandemic, how has London's financial services sector responded to the challenges and adapted to remain relevant? If I start with Miles please.

Miles Celic (Chief Executive Officer, TheCityUK): Thanks very much, Chairman, and thank you for the invitation to participate in this. As Members may be aware, TheCityUK is the representative body for financial and related professional services here in the United Kingdom (UK). In fact, it was created partly at the request of the Greater London Authority (GLA) and the mayoralty about ten years ago and the Mayor puts a representative on our board, as does the City of London Corporation, and we work very closely in partnership with both. I would like to take this opportunity to thank Rajesh and the Mayor for all the efforts they have made over the last 18 months and beyond in terms of dealing both with Brexit and with COVID.

In terms of the question that you have asked, Chairman, I would say the first thing is that the industry has avoided disruption. It has been stable; it has been able to support economic activity here in the UK and more broadly. The markets functioned well. We work very closely with regulators, with central Government, with devolved governments and international governments, to make sure that the industry was able to do its bit to support economic activity and to keep the economy going through particularly those early days of hibernation during lockdown.

There is still a lot of noise among the signal in terms of some of the longer-term impacts here. The very fact, for instance, that we are doing this meeting as a hybrid meeting, I think there are questions about what this means for cities, what this means for clusters. One of the great advantages of London, and also cities such as New York or Hong Kong or Singapore is the cluster effect of talent that they bring. Deloitte did a report a little while ago that showed that London is the biggest concentration of high-skilled jobs anywhere in the world, 1.7 million high-skilled jobs here in London. The next biggest centre is New York with 1.2 million.

As we move forward, what does that mean in terms of where people want to be based, particularly younger workers? We have a highly-mobile population in some of the areas that are of particular interest for the future of financial-related professional services. Here I am thinking of financial technology (fintech), digitisation,

green and sustainable finance. If you look at fintech, for instance, 42% of the people working in fintech here in the UK are from outside the UK.

How do you make sure that you can continue to attract these people? How do you keep a city like London attractive, not just in terms of the important things, such as infrastructure – and here I am thinking of transport in particular, but also office space – but the appeal of a city such as London. It is a very easy sell to say to somebody outside the UK, "You are now going to do two years working in London." Or indeed the rest of the UK, but particularly in London. It is a global city; it is a global population. There is an enormous amount of soft infrastructure that makes it very appealing to work in.

Then the final point I would raise is also the consequences this might have in terms of regulation and supervision over the long term. Regulation and supervision is often place-based. It is based, not just within borders, but as we found during the COVID crisis, often certain trades have to be done in a controlled environment. They have to be done in the office. What we found during the crisis was that the Bank of England was able to get on with its gilts programme out of somebody's bedroom. This was a very different way of doing things. To what extent does regulation and supervision therefore need to adapt as we move forward to address those sorts of thing?

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Thank you for your comments. Our latest report has been about supporting our night-time economy because it is part of the soft infrastructure that makes London very attractive. If I can move on to the Professor please?

**Professor Sarah Hall (Senior Fellow, The UK in a Changing Europe):** Good morning, Chairman, and thank you very much for the introduction. When I think about the future of London, I make a distinction between COVID, which is more of a shorter-term set of impacts; and Brexit and the reorientation of London's economy outside the European Union (EU), which I think is more of a medium to longer term. I will just take each of those in turn.

On COVID I very much agree with Miles that financial and professional services weathered this well. There was no market instability. This was largely because a lot of the work that goes on in financial and professional services could pivot to home and hybrid working. That does raise questions about what that looks like in the future. It is important to note that some of the soft infrastructure that you just mentioned, Chairman, had a much harder COVID and indeed still is, and particularly with Omicron and the introduction of Plan B.

One of the vital aspects of the clustering effect within London is the hospitality sector. We know from research that the hospitality sector is not a nice add-on to financial and professional services, but is quite a critical place in which knowledge and expertise is shared. Therefore, on COVID I would be looking closely to see what happens in terms of that softer infrastructure, which has been impacted more than financial and professional services themselves.

In terms of the UK's trading relationship, obviously London is known as a genuinely global and international financial centre. That is quite distinctive from other European centres and makes London in many ways much more similar to New York in terms of that genuine international global outlook. That has been a recurring theme throughout the history of London as a financial centre.

There are just a couple of things to note about that. New York obviously has a large domestic hinterland upon which it can provide financial and professional services. The UK economy is not the same size as the United

States (US). For London continuing to act as a kind of global hub within the international financial system it is going to be important if it is going to maintain its position as a leading international financial centre.

We have seen some early signs of changes in the trade relationship between the UK and the EU. It looks like some diversion of trade away from between the UK and the EU towards the UK and the US. Miles had a report earlier this week that showed the growth in exports to the US outpacing those to the EU for the first time since those records were collected.

The key point on Brexit is that it is very early days. The worst-case scenarios in terms of job relocations from London have not happened. The number of jobs that have been relocated out of London to Europe is lower than a lot of the worst-case estimates. However, we do need to have a little bit of caution with those figures. Business plans have clearly been disrupted because of COVID and I do not think we are at the end point in terms of what the UK's trading relationship with Europe will look like for financial and professional services. Here there are questions about the EU's interest in brass-plating and chaperoning, for example, and what that might do to business models.

We also need to think about labour market mobility. I very much agree with Miles that attracting international highly-skilled labour into London has been central. Here we need to think about visas, but also mobility more generally, shorter-term business, depending on what happens post-COVID.

Regulation will be critical and there is obviously an awful lot of work going on there. However, it does seem that financial service is one of the areas where the UK Government is particularly interested in exploring how regulation might be used to trigger a Brexit dividend.

Finally, I do think we need to think about London's relationship with other financial centres. There might be a slight risk that, if we focus just on UK and Europe, we miss out on some of the really significant growth markets in financial services, particularly in the US, but also Singapore and Asian markets.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Thank you for that. You beautifully segued me into my next question, and I will start with Miles and come back to you, Sarah.

What has been the main challenge and opportunities and what are the remaining challenges from the impact of Brexit and from the pandemic for London moving forward, challenges and opportunities?

Miles Celic (Chief Executive Officer, TheCityUK): The first thing I would say is it is really important to pay tribute to the work that was done by Government and by regulators, and indeed by industry on both sides of the channel, and indeed Irish Sea in the run-up to Brexit. Pretty much from the day after the referendum all of those groups went into a pretty intensive planning to ensure that the risks of market disruption and economic dislocation were minimised. We can see that we had Brexit, and even right after that with the impact of COVID, the amount of market disruption has been very minimal, if at all. Therefore, that is testament to the amount of work and planning that went in.

In terms of what remains to be done and what remains to be seen, I would very much agree with what Sarah has said. We are seeing an evolution, people may call it divergence, it has also been described as parallel evolution between the UK and the EU. The thing to bear in mind here is that, while the UK, and London in particular, were highly successful - and remain in many ways highly successful - as a European financial hub, that was always a minority even of the international business that took place in the UK. It peaked at around

40% or so. It is now, as Sarah has talked about, in decline and she kindly referenced the report that we issued earlier this week that showed that the US is now our major international export market, taking about 34% of all of the exports in financial services from the UK.

Financial services exports in the UK are the most successful of their kind anywhere in the world. We are the most successful exporter bar none. In fact, we export more financial services than the next three countries put together. That gives you a sense of the scale here and it also gives a sense - again building on what Sarah was saying - that the domestic market here in the UK, while significant, certainly is not of the sort of scale that you would expect from the US. Therefore, we tend to be more export-minded than centres such as the US or China. That tends to be the focus from the UK.

In terms of things that remain as areas of risk with the EU, the broader political relationship is clearly troubled and clearly has a number of areas of tension. What we have consistently asked for is that the very technical relationship between the UK and the EU in financial and professional services, and the European ecosystem that exists in financial and related professional services, should not fall victim to political debates and political arguments that are happening in parts of the economy that have nothing to do with financial and related professional services.

Secondly, and related to that, we think it is unfortunate that the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on Financial Regulatory Co-operation has yet to be agreed by the EU. The UK is ready to move on that and has been for some time. While that sets up a number of formats and forums for regulatory co-operation and is not in itself a decision-making body, it is nonetheless helpful as a mechanism for sharing best practice and air-traffic control between the UK and the EU.

Then the other area that we have concern about over the longer term is this approach that could be characterised as a market location approach over a market efficiency approach that the EU has now adopted, which builds on its open strategic autonomy concept that it is taking forward. There is very clearly a decision to prioritise sovereignty over market efficiency and cost. We feel that there are a number of risks that come with that. Equally, on the more positive side, the recent decision on central counterparties (CCP) having their equivalence extended was absolutely the right thing to do on both sides and reflective of both sides, particularly on the EU side, beginning I hope to listen to customers and businesses a little more.

Then that is balanced out by things such as the Lugano Convention on Judicial Co-operation and Enforcement, which appears to have again been held hostage by the political circumstances.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Unfortunately, politics can do that. Can I just bring Anna in at this point and ask, what for you have been the main opportunities and challenges that still remain?

**Anna Purchas (London Region Chair and Office Senior Partner, KPMG):** Thank you for inviting me today, really looking forward to hearing from the other speakers and seeing how we can learn and move forward together.

At KPMG, and just for those not familiar with us, we are about 16,000 of us in the UK, approximately half our workforce is based out of our office in Canary Wharf. COVID has meant for us two things, in terms of client service we were able to move very fast to working from home. We had, luckily, the technology in place to allow us to do that. Therefore, it did not mean any drop-off in terms of client service and how we could work with our clients in very different ways.

For all of us working at KPMG, working from home until last summer, and most people did work from home until last summer, was of course very different. We put the wellbeing of our staff at the forefront of our agenda and focused on health and safety. That meant working from home. We did recognise that has mental health implications and from the regular surveys we did of our staff we could see that loneliness, mental health, were increasingly a concern for us that we needed to focus on.

When guidance did change and we were able to think more about bringing people back to the office if they wanted to do so, we were able to do that. We did do that at KPMG. Prior to Plan B coming into place, we were moving forward with our hybrid working plans, which we see as an excellent way forward and a very beneficial way forward for our employees.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Anna, can I just ask you a quick question? What sort of proportions are we talking about of your workforce that was working from home and has now returned to the office?

**Anna Purchas (London Region Chair and Office Senior Partner, KPMG):** For most of COVID, everybody was working from home, unless there was a very significant personal wellbeing reason to come into the office. The vast majority working from home in line with Government guidance.

When we started to say, "If you want to come back you can come back," either for a business-critical reason or for personal wellbeing or latterly for personal choice, we were up to about 40% of people coming in. We do still have a minority of people who have not been in at all and chose to continue to work from home.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** OK, thank you. Let me just move on to my second question, I will start with Sarah. What progress has been made on post-Brexit bilateral trade agreements and what does this mean for London?

**Professor Sarah Hall (Senior Fellow, The UK in a Changing Europe):** One of the surprises, at least early on, following the agreement of the Brexit trade deal was that did not unlock additional market access into Europe for London-based financial services. Miles has shown that on that UK and EU relationship things are rather more strained than we might have expected.

On trade and financial and professional services, the first thing to note is that trade deals do not typically do that much for services. Not as much as they do in terms of liberalising goods trade, for example. Although, that being said, there is evidence that they are important in terms of fostering trust and also potentially fostering regulatory dialogue and co-operation. I would not say they are unimportant, but we just need to be a little bit cautious about how significant they are.

The other point to make is that trade is typically deepest within services with your nearest trading partner. In other words, geography does matter in trade. London has done very well in terms of cementing a kind of New York and London trading relationship. The other kind of caveat I would make is that trade is harder now between the UK and the EU in financial and professional services. Therefore, the prospects to offset that with other trade deals, we need to be a little bit cautious as we look for evidence.

That being said, I would just draw your attention to three that I think are particularly important and might be worth drawing the Committee's attention to. The first is the UK and Swiss agreement on financial services. Switzerland is clearly a key financial centre also outside of the EU, and the UK has been clear that it does seem

to want to prioritise a trading relationship, which would include mutual recognition for regulatory frameworks with Switzerland. That is important.

The second one I draw attention to is the UK and Singapore financial partnership. This is important because of the growth rates in Singapore and neighbouring markets. This was agreed in June 2021 and it does facilitate closer regulatory co-operation and includes an MOU that recognises UK and Singapore regulatory regimes. Although I am a little bit sceptical about the extent to which trade deals in and of themselves can stimulate financial and professional services trade, there are some important agreements that are taking place.

The third one that I would draw attention to is the Singapore and UK digital economy agreement, which is a very recent announcement. This is really important, partly because it is quite innovative in terms of what it does on digital trade. However, this is particularly important for London financial and professional services because digital and fintech are one of the areas that London and the UK are seeking to stimulate post-Brexit to try to trigger a Brexit dividend. Showing leadership in facilitating digital trade and digital trade agreements is going to be important.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Thank you. I am going to move on now to Assembly Member Ahmad.

**Marina Ahmad AM:** Thank you, Chairman. My first questions are to Miles, Anna and Allen. What are the opportunities for the future of financial and professional services in London now that the UK has left the EU? I know you mentioned some of those things, but I just wonder if we could go into those in a little bit of detail.

Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners): Hello, everybody. I will start with where the other witnesses have left off really, which is to say that in some ways the level of access, which has been won post-Brexit, was worse than the worst expectation during the early stages of the negotiations. The impact of that has been less severe, at least in terms of dislocation, than was the case. Some analysis by TheCityUK back in the 2016 period expected, if you include ecosystem impact, about a loss of 75,000 jobs. It has probably been a tenth of that gross and net possibly job creation has gone up in the sector. We are starting from the principle of not having to defend against significant dislocation loss and that is a good thing.

The challenge in some ways is the same as it was pre-Brexit, which is that Brexit is one of four megatrends affecting the city and one of the others of course is a pivot to Asia. One of the things that London needs to do is to make sure that it establishes London's standards as the standards that define the new phase of the global economy. That is why it has been very important that since 2010 the Government has had a real focus on London being a good partner for Asia as a fundraising mechanism.

The second opportunity, which is also a risk, is that London has in many ways defined the last 150 years of global finance. It has been the place where modern banking has been developed. That means that, frankly, and to Miles's point, it is very hard for any other financial centre to counter us as the place where you raise global money. New York is where you go to raise money if you are American. The UK is where you go to raise money if you are from anywhere in the world. If you are a Mexican company wanting to invest in the Middle East you do it through London because both counterparties can be confident in our standards.

However, we are seeing significant disruption in financial services and that is across payments, it is across lending, it is across retail, wholesale, the whole gamut. I saw this in my time in the city. We cannot assume that we win that. Amazon transacts many more financial transactions in any given day than any high street bank. It is not based in the UK. The risk and the opportunity for London is how do we make sure that we are

as dominant in those emerging disruptive fintech-based financial and professional services models, and regulatory technology (regtech) and legal technology (lawtech), as we have been in the banking that I worked in for a decade.

What that means is that innovations like the regulatory sandbox are incredibly important because we need to be very good at being seen to be innovation-ready as a market. I was very encouraged by the speakers' comments about the importance of culture as well. I would say that of course, as the Committee knows, we run the tourism work for London as well. If London can make itself a place where young entrepreneurs who are setting up businesses in fintech, regtech, lawtech, want to bring their businesses, then we can have significant expectation of doing well in the next phase of the global economy.

Marina Ahmad AM: Thank you very much.

Anna Purchas (London Region Chair and Office Senior Partner, KPMG): I will answer the question through the lens of the professional services firms. Taking a step back and thinking about London, the advantages we have through the English language, through our regulatory and legal setup, through our timezone, that has led us, over the centuries, to have developed professional qualifications, which are recognised globally. As I am sure you are aware, people come from all over the world to gain a British professional qualification, which they then take back. That is a very important part of how my firm operates as part of our global network.

Thinking about that and what does that mean going forward, we have an opportunity as a sector to drive further growth for London and for the UK. That point is really important. We are very strong in London, about half our employees are based in London, but of those employees they do not all live in London, they live across the UK and hybrid working of course facilitates that. The way we support growth throughout the UK is a very important point in the context of the levelling-up agenda.

This sector, my sector, should be looked at as an opportunity for growth. In terms of where we can see growth, we have already talked about financial services, another area is environmental services. This is of course hugely important for us. In the UK we already have a great depth of expertise in that among the professional services sector. For me it is an area for further growth. That is in terms of when we are advising clients and doing deals, in terms of consulting services, and of course in terms of providing assurance over reporting to support people on their journey to improve. Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) is a huge opportunity for us for growth as a sector in terms of the advice we give.

Thirdly, I would say with some pride that I do think the professional services sector rightly takes a role as forward-looking employers. If we want to have the best talent working for our firms, we have to be strong in this area, because the best people want to work for firms that are forward-looking. Of my firm, we were very early reporters of gender pay gap, ethnicity pay gap. This year we have reported on the socioeconomic pay gap. We were very early members of the minimum wage, and now living wage, foundation. The role we have to play as very responsible employers pushing for good practice should be recognised as well as an asset.

Marina Ahmad AM: Thank you, Anna.

**Miles Celic (Chief Executive Officer, TheCityUK):** Anna and Allen have both made a number of terrific points. I will just build on those and also just to add to the points that Sarah was raising earlier. We have seen terrific progress on the international basis. Those free trade agreements (FTA) do not tend to cover services

much, but they open the dialogue for regulators and governments to talk to each other. The UK is the most successful services exporter in the world, or in the Group of Seven (G7), 45% of our exports are services, the highest proportion in the G7. Also, a lot of the goods exports that we make have a connection to services. Firms like Anna's, firms in financial services and elsewhere, have contracts that are connected to goods trading.

I absolutely take the point that Sarah has raised on geography. That is absolutely vital. It is a slightly more nuanced picture when it comes to services, particularly financial services, as we have seen with the fact that the US is now our largest export market for financial services.

In terms of some of the specific areas that I would like us to see you focusing on, and I think this is happening, thematically green finance and green and sustainability issues, which has been touched on already. There is an area for the UK to build on. We are seeing huge progress being made there by the US, by France, by Germany. The UK, depending on which measure you look at, we are either very much in that pack or slightly behind. Either way, there is more that we can do there and that draws on both the expertise in financial and professional services as we look at transition financing and transition support for the rest of the economy as we move towards net-zero. That was one of the areas that we identified when we launched our international strategy for the future competitiveness of the UK earlier this year.

A couple of others, one around fintech where we are clearly among the leading geography jurisdictions internationally alongside the US and China. One thing I would point to as evidence of the impact the UK is having here already is if you look at the UK and Japan FTA versus the EU and Japan FTA, they are very similar in many ways. They are not quite the copy-and-paste that some people claim they are. However, on data localisation there is an explicit difference between UK and Japan versus EU and Japan. The EU has gone down the data localisation route, which raises costs for customers. The UK and Japan have agreed not to do that, to explicitly rule that out.

Investment; there is a huge amount that we could do in terms of driving investment into the UK and from the UK and the role the financial and professional services traditionally played on that, and risk management is a huge area for London in the future. We already have the fourth-largest insurance industry, the fourth-largest insurance market in the world here, with huge amounts of expertise that exists in professional services. There are a number of opportunities here.

The final point I will just make is that we need to recognise - and I think this has been touched upon by all the speakers already - we do not have a god-given right to success here in the UK. Europe in particular is littered with financial centres that got it wrong and are now no longer the leading international financial centre; places such as Florence or Amsterdam. The UK, while we are doing terrifically well in areas such as fintech, and we are growing overall, there are other centres that are growing faster than us in some areas. We need a national effort to ensure that we do continue to utilise the industry here as a national strategic asset.

**Marina Ahmad AM:** Thank you. That leads very neatly on to my next question. You have all outlined the challenges, particularly as a result of Brexit. You have also talked about opportunities as well, which is very positive. Do those opportunities in your particular sectors outweigh the challenges? I wonder, Anna, if you could start, because you were very positive about the opportunities?

**Anna Purchas (London Region Chair and Office Senior Partner, KPMG):** Yes, I am positive about the opportunities. The concern some other speakers have already raised, the number one concern we have, is skills shortage. I have never known such a competitive skills market as we have across the professional services

sector as we do right now. That is partly driven by growth in demand of what we offer to clients. It is also impacted by a skills shortage. We have seen a reduction in EU workers wanting to come and work in our sector. That does cause us issues. That is my number one concern in terms of making the most of the opportunities that I have.

I am less concerned about hybrid working going forward for our sector in terms of our staff. Of course, I am concerned about the impact that has on the economy in London and more broadly.

**Marina Ahmad AM:** Thank you. Miles, I can see the Chairman is getting a bit agitated, will you just keep it slightly brief, maybe bullet points please?

**Miles Celic (Chief Executive Officer, TheCityUK):** Sure. Briefly, I completely agree with what Anna said. What I hear from companies when we speak to them in our membership is talent is the number one issue, both domestic and international. We need to do better at getting people from other communities that are not as well represented in financial and professional services in the UK into the industry as well as being open.

Two other ones that I would identify really quickly: tax, this is not just about the headline rate, this is about the streamlined predictable stable tax regime. We are uncompetitive when you look at particularly bank taxation. However, as I say, it is more than just headline rate.

The other is on regulation. We need to ensure that we have agile regulation here in the UK. We are no longer part of a market of 500 million people with the scale that brings and the authority that brings in terms of regulatory negotiations. We need to be fast; we need to be agile; we need to be nimble. We very much welcome the Government's proposals on an economic growth and competitiveness duty for the regulators. We think that is a very positive thing.

Marina Ahmad AM: Thank you very much.

**Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners):** On this regulatory point, there is a balance here, and this is how we balance the risks and opportunities. This is the most important strategic decision we have made in our global trade relationships in many years. There is a question mark over how much you reregulate. Let us try to talk about regulatory precision rather than regulatory easement.

If we try to maximise our regulatory opportunities globally by reducing some of the burdens, there are two risks, which we have to trade off. The first one is that there should be an equal and opposite loss of access to the European Union as a risk that we need to manage. You cannot cover a double bed with a single duvet. If you try to move towards Singapore, you move away from Europe. If you try to move towards Europe, you might lose access somewhere else. The solution to that is high standards. High standards are quite a good access route anywhere.

The second thing is balancing cost of fundraising versus investor protections. We saw this in the aftermath of 2008 with some arguments that London interestingly had with France about making sure investors are properly protected. You need to make sure that you have a sufficient set of standards so that international investors continue to have confidence in London as a place where they can invest in risk. For me there is this balance of risks and opportunities is really a balance of standards. If we can maintain sufficiently high standards, then my experience of working in financial services in London is that the capital, and therefore everything else, flows into London. I can talk in more detail about that but that for me is the key issue.

**Marina Ahmad AM:** OK, thank you very much.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Thank you, Assembly Member Ahmad. Before I move on to Assembly Member Bokhari, can I just come back to Allen. You talked about four megatrends and you listed two, Brexit and COVID, what were the other two?

Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners): From my perspective, there are four core trends, which are affecting financial and professional services, but frankly the broader economy. There is Brexit, and we talked a little bit about that, there is COVID, we have talked a little bit about that. The third is recession and it is underestimated that going into last year most serious market commentators put the chance of a recession across the western economies at more than 50%. You could see that, for instance I provided some data to the Committee last time, which illustrated that. Then the fourth is what you can call Asia pivot, or the move towards the southeast of the world.

Generally speaking, to Miles's point, nowhere is the global fundraising centre forever. There is a reason that Lombard Street in London is called Lombard Street. There is a reason we call banks "banks;" it comes from the Italian word banco, which means "bench." In the same way that London absorbed a place as the global financial centre, as growth in Asia grows to a point where you have significant domestically invested capital, rather than exported capital, you get to a situation where financial services moves with it in order to serve it. There is a thing that you often hear people say in the city, which is that the last thing to leave is the nameplate on the building. The capital goes, and then the teams who trade that capital move, and then the support services move, and then finally they turn off the lights in the building.

That general trend in economic growth from the northwest of the world to the southeast is one of the four megatrends that I think we need to align with the other three.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Thank you.

**Hina Bokhari AM (Deputy Chair):** I found that really interesting, Allen, thank you. My question is this to Anna from KPMG: I want to know what is making you stay here in London. There are all these concerns about skills shortages, EU, reduction of staff, and if the success of the hybrid approach means that somebody who is really skilful comes from somewhere in the EU, you are very welcome to just invite that person to KPMG, work for you, but on a hybrid way. They do not have to be here in this city. So, what is making KPMG say, "Right, we will stay here", because you could go anywhere.

Anna Purchas (London Region Chair and Office Senior Partner, KPMG): Yes. It is a really good question and we are committed to staying here. We have invested significantly in our Canary Wharf buildings recently. You do need some time together with colleagues and there are some activities that are much better face-to-face. Technology is brilliant but we have found that our teams do need to be together. We are an organisation that recruits in a significant amount of junior colleagues. At any one time we have about 3,500 people going through training and some of that does need to be on the job, face-to-face, or in a classroom. There will always be reasons for needing to be together.

In terms of why London is the right place to be together, in the context of the UK it is our head office. We will continue to see people wanting to come to their head office for that sense of being in the centre. Indeed, some of our research across the UK economy, our UK economist Yael Selfin [Chief Economist, KPMG] has

shown that we expect that to continue as a trend. There will continue to be head offices based in hub centres and people will want to travel there, and they will be areas of stronger productivity. We do see London as being one of those hubs.

Versus the EU, again it comes back to our historical reputation in terms of professional qualification and again the English language. It is hard to discount how important that is at the moment for colleagues in the EU and more broadly to want to come and have "working in London" on their CV. It is a huge asset and one that I care very much about that we protect that very valuable asset that we have.

**Hina Bokhari AM (Deputy Chair):** Thanks. A very quick question to Miles. You have talked about the new trade deals having an impact on London's financial and professional services. What are you measuring in terms of what is beneficial and what is not beneficial? What kind of metrics are you using?

**Miles Celic (Chief Executive Officer, TheCityUK):** That is a really good question. One of the problems that we have here is that the statistics, the data around services trade are nowhere near as good as the statistics around goods. For the fact that the UK economy is 20% goods and 80% services, that is not terribly helpful, and I have already pointed out the success the UK has got regarding the services exports that we have. This is something that we have been working with the Office for National Statistics (ONS), it is something the World Trade Organisation (WTO) has been looking at in terms of improving the data around services. What we do have are certain measures. Our international key facts report, which we issued this week, uses those as the basis for the analysis. There are ways of cutting this, but it is not as an exact a science as we would hope.

**Zack Polanski AM:** Thank you all for coming to the Committee, both physically and on Zoom. Deputy Mayor, if I can begin with you. Both the Mayor and the Government have committed to making London a global leading centre for green finance. What impact will this have on financial services and how can we make sure that action is taken?

**Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business):** Good morning and thank you very much for inviting me here today. I want to begin, if I may, by paying tribute to the financial and professional services sector. Throughout the pandemic they have done a terrific job. Data last year showed that 84% of all the banks, building societies and post office branches remained open throughout the pandemic. They have done a terrific job in terms of distributing the loans under the Government guarantee schemes and so on, 1.5 million businesses have managed to access £75 billion. I just wanted to pay tribute to them.

In terms of green finance, many of my colleagues here and on the panel have mentioned this issue, the opportunity area. The Mayor is very ambitious on green finance. We want to double the size of the green economy of London within the next decade. It is an area of absolutely huge opportunity.

The Mayor has set the target for London to be net-zero by 2030. What that means is, in order to achieve that target, we will require about £61 billion worth of injection. In fact on one level it could be £100 billion. It is a once in a lifetime opportunity where we can bring private and public sectors together to harness this opportunity. The Mayor wants to utilise his position as the Chair of C40, which he is now, to really boost this as well. We are bringing private and public sectors together. The Mayor has convened several meetings in this area.

It also shows that the UK retail savers have been putting about £1 billion every month towards the responsible investment funds last year, which shows that the consumers are also wanting that. If you look at the London

Stock Exchange, again there is a huge opportunity for capital markets there, and the London Stock Exchange was named as the greenest exchange in the world last year. Its bond market now has got 300 active bonds, which have raised about \$70 billion, and London has seen a 250% increase in green bonds. It is about bringing the private and public sectors together and really harnessing this opportunity. Combining with that all our ambitions that we are doing around it - clean tech, green tech, all of that together - is a phenomenal area of opportunity.

**Zack Polanski AM:** Thank you, Deputy Mayor. Here is my concern. I spent a couple of weeks last month at COP26 [26th United Nations Climate Change Conference of the Parties]. While it was a fantastic opportunity and a vital discussion for the globe to have, I was aware of a lot of greenwashing that was going on, on the ground, not fully, but some greenwashing going on. The Mayor says in his draft Budget that he wants this £61 billion. Now I was really pleased to hear you then say, "from public and private investment," but in the draft Budget it just says, "to enable a major increase in private-sector investment." What safeguards are there and how can we as Londoners and as an Assembly know that the money that we are accepting as private investment is ethical, that it is right, and that it is going to tackle the climate emergency and is not just greenwashing?

Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business): Yes, you are absolutely right and that is one thing that one has to be very careful about; that ESG does not become just a buzz word in a glossy corporate brochure but that it is genuinely done in the right kind of spirit. I believe that whilst the majority of businesses are doing it in the right spirit, they see this not just as being responsible towards the planet and the environment, but genuinely see it as an economic opportunity. What we need to do from City Hall, as we continue to do, is make it easier for them to convene, do business and invest in those areas, and that is what we are doing, trying to bring projects together which are highly investable. The opportunity is huge, but also the challenge is huge and that is why we have got to be ambitious and we cannot do this alone. We have to bring along the private sector with us.

**Zack Polanski AM:** Yes, if you will forgive me, I agree with your answer and I heard all the opportunities. What I did not hear though is what safeguards there will be or what checks and balances there will be to make sure that that private investment is coming from the right places.

Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business): As it is already, you look at any kind of procurement. If you are talking specifically, for example, there are the procurement powers that the Mayor has through Transport for London (TfL) and through the Metropolitan Police Service, and so on. We believe in responsible procurement and we use that to make a lot of changes, not just on climate and recycling, but also on the Good Work Standard, for example. We have got to make sure that the companies that are supplying into GLA group organisations, for example, are looking after their employees well, paying them fairly, and providing them the right working conditions. Similarly, part of the whole thing is also looking at their environmental risk credentials as well, and this is all weaved into the Procurement Strategy.

**Zack Polanski AM:** Thank you very much. Allen, if I can turn to you now. Rajesh is your Board's Chairman, but from a London & Partners point of view how do you see green finance and this private investment conundrum?

**Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners):** Money is neutral. Money is neither green nor dirty; it is what it is used for that is green or dirty. If you have got money that came from something you might call dirty and it is going towards green, then it is always what it goes towards. From my

perspective, we are in a transition period where at the moment there is a significant - but in the context of the trillions of pounds traded in London relatively small - amount of money going towards what we would call a green project. Climate tech in London has got venture capital (VC) of about \$28 billion behind it and that is great. Phase 2 though - and we are not there yet, but I can see we are in transition there - is towards it not being possible to raise money at anything like market rate to fund something which you have not demonstrated is at least climate neutral. That is the bigger thing because it is the price of money that defines what big companies invest in and we are not there yet.

In terms of what we are trying to do, which is really the question, we went live – I think in October [2021] and I shared it with you – with our green and sustainability investment product. Like a lot of what we do, what it is trying to do is to de-risk green and sustainable investment into London by giving people the information on how to do it better here than somewhere else. That is a large amount of what we are doing. The hope is that because we particularly feel that we have the ability to affect decision-making in smaller and high growth firms, who maybe do not have access to in-house strategy teams and all the rest of it, by focusing on those companies we can support green disruption. L&P probably has less of a role in what I described as Phase 2 and that is going to be market-driven by larger players and by particularly, I suspect, pension funds. Our focus is on trying to make sure that we de-risk green and sustainable investment into London so that that \$28 billion of VC investment into green tech can become \$60 billion, \$120 billion and so on.

**Zack Polanski AM:** Thank you. That is a really fair answer. The only thing I find objectionable - and maybe I have just misunderstood - is your idea that money is neutral in terms of, yes, it matters where it is going, but London has a moral and ethical responsibility. Therefore, accepting money that has come from exploitation or conflict or wherever it might be in the world, then we have a duty to not accept that money and to challenge it.

**Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners):** We may not be so I will test it, but we are probably in violent agreement. If I have got £5 in my wallet, I do not know where it has come from and the question for me as the person holding that £5 is what I do with it. It may have been used to fund something objectionable in the past; the question is what we do with it. We are probably talking about two separate things. One is making sure that we have got the proper legal values and other controls on use of money in London and the question of whether we have enough green money in London is really a question of "Do we have enough green investment happening?" if I am making the right distinction.

To your point about, well, you need to know where the money has come from, yes, but that to me is a broader and separate question, an important question but a broader and separate one. The question of green money is really about what it is being invested in. It is what you are investing that money in. Money is a fungible product, it may have been used for something else at some other point, but investment is ultimately a demand side activity, not a supply side activity. If you create the right green investment opportunities in your city, then the money flows to it and therefore by definition it is not somewhere else.

**Zack Polanski AM:** Yes, I agree. They are two separate questions, but they are inextricably linked, and it is important that we show that leadership. Thank you very much, Chairman.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Before I give you Assembly Member Bokhari, I would like to ask the Deputy Mayor if you have a definition, broad or otherwise, for what would constitute a green investment and, for me personally, most importantly green jobs. What is a definition of a green job?

Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business): Green job?

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Yes, because in the Mayor's manifesto - I have heard you say it as well - you talk a lot about generating green jobs. What does a green job look like? What is it?

**Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business):** A green job is quite a broad term, but it is essentially in the green economy, so the economy that is working towards reducing the carbon footprint, for example. If it is electric vehicles, for instance, or installing charging points, that would be the green economy, for example, but there could be quite a long lead --

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** In the context of London, you are talking about new green jobs, jobs that did not exist last year? We are --

**Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business):** No, there are jobs that also exist, for example, recycling. There is a lot of recycling that already happens in London, but it is building on it, district heating, for example, which is happening in [the London Borough of] Islington. Again, we need to build more of that.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** What I am trying to get to is --

Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business): We really want to build the existing --

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** I am trying to identify the performance indicator. You are saying that you will be counting green jobs that do something about carbon footprint and do something about a cleaner environment. I understand that part. I want to know when you will be counting them from. Will it be the beginning of this term? In the manifesto, you talk about a number of green jobs you want to generate. When I say "you," I mean the Mayor. When will that count start? Will it be from the beginning of this term?

**Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business):** Yes, it is part of the manifesto commitment and manifesto commitments are for the second term, so yes, at the start of the term.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Thank you for that.

**Hina Bokhari AM (Deputy Chair):** Rajesh, this is for you as well. What action is the Mayor taking to support the growth of green financial services in London? You have already touched on some of that.

Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business): Thank you very much. I have answered some of that in my response to the previous questions, but again the Mayor wants to use his position as the Chair of C40, for example, to show that leadership. The Mayor's Green New Deal will focus on decarbonising London's transport and buildings and doubling the size of the capital's green economy. We want to make London the number one centre in the world for green finance, including setting up a new financing facility to attract a greater level of private-sector investment in London's infrastructure. The aim is for the proposed facility to support projects from 2023 and we are already working with the Green Finance Institute and the City of London to identify and remove barriers in attracting private investment at scale to close the climate finance gap. In October [2021], the Mayor hosted a discussion with the private sector on steps to maximise the flow of private sector capital into a pipeline of London project, aiming at tackling the climate and ecological emergencies.

Also, it is worth saying that the Mayor's current £500 million Energy Efficiency Fund can invest in projects until the middle of 2023, and is providing flexible and competitive finance and funding options to deliver decarbonisation projects such as low carbon energy networks or retrofitting of existing public buildings. The opportunity is huge, and we have got to be ambitious. Again, like I said, we have to bring private and public together, use new technologies and be creative in terms of how we tackle the climate emergency and make the most of it through the green economy and green finance.

**Hina Bokhari AM (Deputy Chair):** Thank you, Rajesh. I note that the Mayor stated in March 2021 that the Green Finance Initiative (GFI) was producing an interim report. Why has that report not been published yet? What is the delay?

**Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business):** There is the obvious reason, but I can come back to you with exact reasons why there has been a delay. They are working with City of London to identify certain barriers, and so on. I can come back to you if that is OK with the exact timetable of it.

**Hina Bokhari AM (Deputy Chair):** OK, brilliant. We will write to you about that then. Thank you.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Assembly Member Sheikh?

**Sakina Sheikh AM:** Thank you for indulging me, Chairman, because I know I was not supposed to come in on this, but I find green finance really fascinating. Welcome to the panel and thank you for joining us. Rajesh, I might pick up on something that yourself and Allen have talked about in terms of green investment and infrastructure and I wonder whether Miles might be able to offer some insight on this. You are right about the opportunity in terms of climate infrastructure. There is huge potential with offshore wind farms, for instance, in the UK, it is absolutely the infrastructure of the future, but the UK owns very little of the current offshore wind farm infrastructure. What I have realised, having worked in green finance for a little while, is capital moves much quicker than politics. In that balance between public and private, how do we ensure the public has enough of the meat, basically? How do we ensure that the private sector can almost ensure that? When we look at local government as well and their pension funds, there is a huge opportunity from local government pension funds to invest in offshore wind farms. We saw Mark Carney, the old Governor of the Bank of England, talking about the fiduciary duty and the need to divest away from fossil fuel and reinvest, for instance, in that infrastructure. I wanted to drill down a little bit on what that balance looks like right now. How do we ensure the public sector has a big enough seat at the table? Perhaps, Miles, you might also be able to offer some insight into that, given your role. I will start with you, Rajesh.

**Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business):** You are absolutely spot on that the Government and the public sector need to have skin in the game and that divesting pension funds away from fossil fuels is a good start to that. The Mayor is fully committed to do that and we encourage others to do that as well. Also, you mentioned for example, wind farms. It is not exactly for London city and wind farms are a bit hard for obvious reasons, but there are other opportunities in terms of developing new technologies. For example, there is a huge opportunity in district heating and our ambitions around that.

If you take the example of Bunhill district heating, which is in [the London Borough of] Islington, it is the world's first where the waste from underground train networks is actually being used to heat buildings. It is quite extraordinary. We need more of those. We need to be distributed across London and more and more part of London's heating needs to come from those localised heating facilities. We need to be more entrepreneurial, if I may use that word, in terms of what is in London's interests, because that is what people

want and that is what Londoners want. Again, we cannot do it alone, but we have to do our bit and we have to lead the way to a certain extent.

Also, what we have here is amazing convening power. We can welcome private sector investment. We can convene meetings, as the Mayor has been doing, bringing those players together and encouraging them to invest in the sector. I am delighted that the private sector understands the real economic opportunity here. Wind farms are great, but we have to look beyond at what else it involves. Retrofitting, for example. We are doing our bit. Without going into detail, there is a huge fleet of zero-emission buses, for instance, in TfL, using the waste from Underground networks and so on.

We just have to continue, and often we can learn. We are one of the greatest cities in the world, but we can still learn. There are cities around the world doing amazing work, sometimes very small cities and sometimes in the developing world but they are doing some good work. That is why the C40 is a really good platform to be able to share knowledge from what we are doing and also learn from other cities as well.

**Sakina Sheikh AM:** Thank you, Deputy Mayor.

**Miles Celic (Chief Executive Officer, TheCityUK):** One of the things that came out of COP26 - and the Deputy Mayor and Allen have already touched on this - is that this is a partnership. This is not something that the public sector can do by itself, nor is it something that the private sector can do by itself. COP26 was very much about that partnership approach on green financing.

If you look at the demands that exist in terms of infrastructure renewal, the International Energy Agency has already identified that we are going to have to find an additional more than \$1 trillion a year - I think it was \$1.1 trillion a year - in order to address the amount of investment that is going to be needed to deal with the implications of climate change and the move to net zero, just in global infrastructure. To put that into context, that is about 40% of the entirety of the UK's gross domestic product (GDP) every single year that has to be found. This is a huge challenge.

The role for the private sector and the public sector, working together, is how we identify these areas where we can move the ESG agenda forward, how we identify new solutions, and how we provide support for ESG investment, the use of green bonds and the development of ESG indices and databases. Working with sovereign wealth funds is going to be a large part of this.

One thing I will just touch on - and we have already talked about greenwashing in this discussion - is that something that is not terribly helpful is the regulatory fragmentation around green disclosure standards and green taxonomies. That is going to make it harder for any single centre to emerge as a global hub here, it is going to make it easier for regulatory arbitrage and it is going to make it a lot easier for greenwashing. Having an internationally consistent set of standards in this area is, to my view, absolutely foundational.

**Sakina Sheikh AM:** Very interesting. Thank you.

**Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners):** As I think we probably all recognise and agree, there is more private money than public money so unless the market leads this, it does not happen. What can policymakers do to help to drive it? There are three things, I suppose.

The first thing, as Miles has talked about, is transparency so that institutional investors and their stakeholders – and retail investors, through them – can understand and make decisions about where they deploy their money. As Miles says, at the moment that is hellishly difficult. I struggle to read these things and it is my job.

The second thing is customer pricing signals. All the while it is cheaper to drive diesel than electric or what have you, it is very difficult for individual consumers to make choices they would prefer to. There is an elasticity point. People will bear a certain additional cost to do the right thing but past a certain level, they will not. We know that. We are consumers and we know that about ourselves.

Then the third is crowding in investment, and this has been done quite successfully in the past. The European Investment Fund (EIF) funded funds across the UK, which used money via Brussels - but, ultimately, of course, UK taxpayers' money - to invest on a co-investment basis. That crowds in private sector money because it de-risks it and you can do clever things with first loss and that sort of thing. The Funding London work has been an example of that, and that is, I would argue, a very competently run scheme.

If I was looking for something to report on, it would be use of customer pricing signals, crowding in investment, and transparency of standards, all of which are in the gift of policymakers to improve.

**Sakina Sheikh AM:** Thank you very much. Maybe the regulations that Miles was talking about, in terms of unifying that.

**Krupesh Hirani AM:** Allen, one of the points you made about recession was quite alarming, in your four issues that are really impacting the sector and London. The Mayor has commented many times, "There cannot be a national recovery without a London recovery." My question, with that in mind, is to Miles first and maybe Sarah, if you can come in after. How can the Mayor work with Government and what can Government also do to build better relationships with businesses to support the financial and professional services (FPS) in London and be a strong advocate for protecting the city, given its strategic importance to the UK economy?

**Miles Celic (Chief Executive Officer, TheCityUK):** Thanks very much for that question. Firstly, I would like to stress that we have had a terrific relationship with the Mayor, the administration, and indeed the GLA more broadly. I think there is an absolute recognition of the importance of this ecosystem, the financial and elated professional services ecosystem, to London and to the UK more broadly. Two thirds of the jobs in this industry are outside the M25 but London is a terrific hub for that activity.

In terms of what I think the industry would like to see - and we hear this pretty consistently through the conversations that we have - we have talked about the importance of talent, keeping people in the UK, keeping people in London and attracting people to London. One very brief aside or anecdote I would identify is that we can do all sorts of things with visas, we can do all sorts of things with mobility, but there is that atmosphere of whether a not a place is welcoming and aspirational that attracts people. That is very difficult to quantify. You know it when it is there, and you know it when it is not there. San Francisco has suffered from it previously, and places like Toronto have therefore been the big winners. It is that sense of just being welcoming. Continuing to have London as an aspirational place for people who have dreams that they want to take forward, entrepreneurs who have terrific ideas and exciting things that they want to provide to customers, having that at the heart of London, as it has been historically, is going to be vital.

The other thing here, though, is avoiding the risk of silos developing between central Government, within central Government, and in devolved governments well. The issues that keep London attractive for financial and related professional services do not sit within one part of government. They are not just within Her Majesty's Treasury, the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, the Home Office, or the Department for Digital, Culture, Media and Sport when it comes to data, for instance, or the Department for International Trade when it comes to trade deals. It is not just within central Government or devolved government. The more that the Mayor can do what he has been doing, which is being an advocate for the industry and an advocate for a joint, integrated, national UK approach to the importance of London and the importance of this industry, the better. Just continuing to bang the drum on that would, I think, be very powerful.

**Professor Sarah Hall (Senior Fellow, The UK in a Changing Europe):** I would draw attention to three things here, and Miles has touched on a couple of them.

The first is keeping a close dialogue with the industry itself around regulatory priorities but also being clear about the risks and opportunities that regulatory changes bring. It needs to be made clear that the more you tailor UK regulation to the specifics of London and the UK, the less likely enhanced EU market access is. That is a regulatory fact, but you do need to be clear and transparent about the choices that are made in regulatory terms.

Second, I would very much echo the points made around mobility and access to talent. The research shows us that this has been central to London's development as a hub, and attention should be paid to both the visa but also the mobility, day-trip-type business trip mobility that has not really been tested post-COVID. The mobility elements of the Brexit trade deal are very complex, and we do not really know how they are going to work in practice because business travel generally has been muted due to COVID. Maintaining an ability for ease of access for highly skilled individuals is a second area.

Then the third, which touches on something that has come up throughout this conversation, is being a little bit humble about the strength of London and its position within the UK. There is quite a lot of opportunity to signal how a strong London recovery helps regional growth across the UK, linking with the asset management industry in Edinburgh or the significant fintech cluster in Belfast, for example. Being clear about what a London-led recovery looks like for the regions is going to be important. I know TheCityUK has done a lot to try to promote this, but I think that is going to be very important, particularly within the wider context of a concern about addressing regional equality across the UK. That is also particularly important for London because we know that for some parts of the London economy, the impacts of COVID have been much more severe than they have been in other parts of the UK. It blows both ways.

**Krupesh Hirani AM:** Thank you. You touched on some important issues about how London and other cities across the UK work together as well. A lot of what we have heard today is about London's importance on the international scene and its importance as a global city. How does that tie in with the current 'levelling up' agenda and what do you feel about the current narrative around it? Maybe if I turn to Miles first.

Miles Celic (Chief Executive Officer, TheCityUK): The first thing I would say is that this is no longer, and nor has it been for some time, a question of "London or." It is not London or Edinburgh, London or Manchester, or London or Birmingham. Very much as Sarah has talked about, there is a recognition that London is a terrific gateway into the UK that can lead to investments that go elsewhere into the UK. Companies will come to London first. They know it. There is a talent pool here. There is a familiarity with it.

Then, as they get to know the UK a little bit better, they will start thinking about, "What is it that we could do in other parts of the UK?" You can see that with some of the American banks, for instance, who started out in London and have now opened offices across the UK drawing on the talent clusters that are developing, particularly in terms of cities that have been good at working hand in glove with their local university, for instance, or where there is a strong professional services representation.

In terms of what we can do together between London and other parts of the UK, a lot of that is happening already. There is clearly a lot of cooperation between the various metro mayors in the UK. We would like to see greater devolution of powers to local and devolved level, and we would like to see greater representation of regulators and supervisors at local and devolved level. In fact, the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) are making some very welcome steps towards that. They are beefing up their office in Edinburgh, which is the only one in the UK other than London. They are opening an office in the north of England - it looks like that might be Leeds - and a few other people will be based around the UK as well.

Then it is, again, as Sarah as touched on, recognising that there are clusters that are developing of particular specialisms and expertise in different parts of the UK, and that working together you can offer a much more compelling, much more integrated offer to the rest of the world when it comes to financial and related professional services in the UK.

**Krupesh Hirani AM:** Thank you. Miles, just very quickly, we are reaching crunch point this weekend with TfL and many businesses have come forward in support of getting the Government and the Mayor around the table around a sustainable funding deal for TfL. How important is it for the City and for financial services for TfL to have long-term, sustainable funding and better financial security as well? What effect would not having this have on the sector?

Miles Celic (Chief Executive Officer, TheCityUK): I have been at two major international firms that regularly review their domicile as part and parcel of their regular governance. Everybody thinks that those decisions are made solely around tax or regulation, and the reality is they are not. They are multifaceted, nuanced decisions that a company goes through, a process that it goes through when it decides where it is going to keep its headquarters and all the economic activity that comes with that. I really would not underestimate the importance of transport infrastructure as a part of that. Now, some of that is international - the benefits of London's international connectivity are self-evident - but also it is the ability to move people around a city and get them to the office with convenience, with speed, and safely. Absolutely, the importance of the infrastructure here in London is critical.

Companies do not want to go somewhere where you literally cannot get people into the office and I am sure that will continue to be the case even as we move into a more hybrid-based situation. Nor do you want people coming to a city where they cannot move around easily, just in getting from the airport to the meeting that they want to get to. It is part of the appeal of a city to investors. It is part of the appeal to people who want to come and live in a city and need to move around it, whether they are on an international placement or they have moved from another part of the UK. The success of TfL and the transport infrastructure that we have here in London is absolutely critical.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Thank you, Assembly Member Hirani. Before I go on to Assembly Member Sheikh, I would just like to circle back to the Deputy Mayor. The Mayor runs a number of business boards which are often, for some people, confusing about what role they take, what board is doing what. Do you think we could use these boards to better effect? Also, could we do a better job of managing their comms?

**Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business):** Before Sadiq [Khan, Mayor of London] got elected he promised that he was going to be the most pro-business mayor, and part of that was to work with businesses and business leaders. All these business boards serve towards that. We have the Mayor's Business Advisory Board, which is a fantastic board on an ongoing basis. It is a great sounding board for the Mayor to talk to business leaders in a trusted environment. Then, more recently, around COVID, there is the COVID Business Forum, which particularly represents businesses, for example, who have been hard-hit by the pandemic. That is an ongoing board as well. Then you have, obviously, the London Economic Action Partnership (LEAP) and so on. There are various boards for various purposes. For example, there is a mid-city board, there is L&P --

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** You are making my point for me. It is not a problem with the boards; I get the Mayor would like to set up certain things to take sounding and so on. That is my point. There are quite a few of these boards. Their communications to the rest of us are pretty poor. We do not know what they are for. If I ran a big City firm, which board should I be trying to join? How would I find out? My point is: is somebody looking at these boards, making some kind of collation of their activities and then giving it to London, to the Assembly, so that we can understand what is going on? That would be my point.

**Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business):** Very happy to do that. We will write to you with what the different boards are, what the roles are and so on, everything, if that is OK. It is also on the london.gov website but we are happy to --

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Again, that is what I meant about poor communications. The london.gov website. There you go.

**Marina Ahmad AM:** Before we leave this subject, if I could just ask Miles, just in a minute, to reiterate something and maybe deep-dive a bit more? I was very gratified to hear you talking about it not being London or somewhere else. In terms of the recovery that we have to have in this country, it has to be a united effort. I just wonder if you would like to comment on the thing that I keep saying all the time, which is that if you kick London, you kick the rest of the country.

**Miles Celic (Chief Executive Officer, TheCityUK):** I completely agree with that. We have a group of city chairs who represent the major FPS centres beyond London - they are in places such as Cardiff, Manchester, Birmingham and so on - who work very closely with our counterparts at Scottish Financial Enterprise north of the border, and you never hear anybody talking about doing down London. It is quite the contrary. It is an advantage to Manchester, Edinburgh, Birmingham, Cardiff, and Belfast that London is successful.

London is the kind of city that any other European country - or any other country, bluntly, possibly with the exception of the US - would love to have; the cluster of talent that you have here, the gateway that it offers and the connectivity that exists. It is not an issue for a bank to have its global headquarters in London and its UK headquarters in Manchester or Birmingham. That is an advantage. These are all huge areas of potential collaboration. We are already seeing that collaboration. I would not underestimate the extent to which this is seen as a cluster that is made up of a number of different hubs, or a number of different spokes, rather, around the hub of London. That is a benefit to the UK.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Thank you. I think the point to be made is: it is politicians who talk about London too much, not business. The rhetoric should be what we should be doing for other regions for the country in relation to London. That is where the problem lies.

**Sakina Sheikh AM:** I am going to direct these questions to Rajesh and Allen. I would like to hear from you: what action are the Mayor and L&P taking to promote London internationally as a global hub for FPS? Rajesh, why don't start us off?

**Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business):** First of all, sometimes I describe part of my job as a travelling salesman, travelling around the world and selling London, and I thoroughly enjoy that part of my job. I am very proud to also be the Chair of L&P. I think they do an absolutely terrific job at championing the city around the world as the best city to visit, to come here to study, to start and grow a business, and to invest in. We spend a huge amount of time talking to business leaders from around the world when we are travelling abroad. Obviously, for the last couple of years it has been difficult to travel so we have been doing a lot of virtual engagement. Equally, when there are visitors from abroad who are visiting London, we are doing that.

Then, taking London businesses abroad. I will let Allen expand on that, but we have, for example, the Mayor's International Business Programme, which in the last five years has taken about 1,000 London businesses overseas. These are some of our best entrepreneurs and they are all running fast-growing London businesses, and taking them abroad and showcasing them is like showcasing the talent that we have in London. This is all part of promoting London.

One thing that has come out very, very clearly in this discussion, as well as every time I speak to businesses, is that London is a unique ecosystem. It is not just that it is the financial capital of the world, but it is also the cultural capital of the world. We have more theatres than any other city in the world. We have concert halls, we have great universities, great schools, and all these things. All of that together makes London's offering very, very unique, and we have to protect that. When we are championing London as a great cultural city, all of that is going towards London for business too because it makes it attractive for investors to invest in, makes it attractive for the people from around the world who want to come and work here, for instance, or for the companies who want to relocate their staff from other parts of the world to London. All of that makes it much easier.

Part of that is also the Let's Do London campaign, which is our domestic tourism campaign, but it has very wide global reach now. For example, this week - and I urge everybody to go if they have time - in the Guildhall in the City of London, above that, there is a fantastic light show, Borealis. You will see basically the Northern Lights and so on. All of this has a wide-reaching impact.

The Mayor also utilised COP26 as a great opportunity to sell London because, again, COP26 was attended by so many global leaders, political and from the business world. The Mayor also met with the EU Commissioner for Financial Services when she visited in September [2021] and so on. All of this is part of a huge amount of international engagement. I will again say the Mayor is now the chair of C40, a great platform for him to promote London around the world, particularly on its green credentials, and encourage other cities to do the same.

**Sakina Sheikh AM:** Brilliant, thank you. It is interesting because I think two meetings ago we talked a lot about tourism and beginning to frame, almost, tourism as an export. I wonder if that plays into this, Allen. It would be helpful to drill down specifically on how that promotes London to FPS.

**Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners):** Yes. Just very briefly, in framing, as the Committee is aware, every major city around the world has a version of L&P but most have several; there

is one for tourism, there is one for inward investment, there is one for trade, and so on. L&P looks at the gamut and we were set up by the previous Mayor on that basis.

The way that I personally imagine a customer journey is: imagine a young family come to London from India and their eldest daughter says, "Mum, Dad, I love London and I want to come back and study here," so she comes and studies here. Then she goes back to Bangalore and sets up a fintech. We know she is much more likely to then bring that fintech to London, if she takes an international step in her business journey, because she was a student here and because she was a tourist here. It is very important, as you have done, to see all of those things as being very conceptually linked, and, of course, we will generally see a city more in its culture and tourism branding than we will as a business place. We will receive those messages more.

L&P, since we were set up in 2011, have added £2.5 billion to the London economy on a very conservative measure. A significant amount of that is in FPS, which is one of our core focus markets. We started this conversation talking about Brexit. That is a useful jumping-off point for some of this. We, in the aftermath of Brexit, deliberately asked ourselves, "How can we maximise the benefits of Brexit and mitigate the risk?" as you need to do with any strategic choice that you make.

That was about expanding our presence into Europe so that we could lay the groundwork for trade and investment to continue to flow from Europe as that relationship improved, and we have started to see that. After a challenging two or three years for the team where they have had to do the leg work, we, this year, have started to see the pipeline from Europe really thrive, and we have had £18 million worth of investment through L&P into London from Europe.

We also deliberately expanded, very consciously, in cities and markets which have significant FPS, as well as clean and green tech strength, across North America, including in Canada, and a big expansion in India and China. That is not just where the growth is but where we think the growth can realistically come to London. Just to offer a counterfactual, if we had expanded in South America, there is a lot of growth in South America and there are some really important and interesting fintech innovations coming out of South America, but it will tend to either go to New York or, if it wants to take a European step, it will go to a Spanish or Portuguese-speaking country. We have focused on where the growth is, but where the growth that is available to London is. That has led to a £2.6 billion contribution.

Just for the Committee's interest, yesterday fDi Markets, which is the big player in research and so on in our space, named L&P as one of the top two trade and investment agencies in the world. We are back in the top two again. Obviously, we want to push for number one, but it demonstrates that globally we are seen as being world-class.

**Sakina Sheikh AM:** Fantastic, thank you. You have already moved on to one of the questions I wanted to also drill down on, which is how that strategy has changed since we have decided to leave the EU. Obviously, you identified the longer-term courting of financial services and professional services through a customer journey. More at a top level, how has that core strategy changed? Rajesh, it would be great for you to come in as well on this because I know you spoke about the adaptation to virtual, but I see those as more pragmatic as opposed to core strategy changes. If I start with you, Allen, and then I came back to Rajesh.

**Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners):** I will just recirculate for the Committee, so that they have it to hand, our strategy which took us from 2018 to 2021. It might be worthwhile just having a quick scan through that. It is two things, fundamentally. The first one is a market

pivot. We expanded in Europe and that is now showing dividends, but, as importantly, we looked to see where we thought the longer-term growth was. I said at the beginning that there are four macro trends here. One of them is a pivot to Asia, and that took our strategy in that direction. You can think of those as being verticals. Then horizontally across that we had a renewed sector focus on sectors which we thought were going to be resilient against change and where London had availability. FPS was a core one of those.

Of course, more recently, in response to the current pandemic and its impact on London, we focused our mission much more to create not just growth but growth that is resilient, sustainable, and inclusive. Resiliency is largely economic resiliency. There is no point bringing a sector to London that we have reason to believe is about to be disrupted out of existence. Sustainability we have talked a lot about, and we all understand what we mean by that, and inclusivity as well. Of course, one of the realities of the sorts of sectors that we operate in is that a lot of people who work in them look a lot like me. In a city where that is not the case, we have been working to find ways that we can bring companies to London and help them to hire in ways which are more diverse, help them to move the geography of where they hire but also move the demographics of where they hire so that the opportunities to take on these jobs in fintech, in medical technology, in culture and in urban, are available to all Londoners rather than to a relatively limited few.

Sakina Sheikh AM: Brilliant, thank you.

Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business): Allen makes some really good points. All I would say is that in the last few years, let us be honest, the UK's reputation internationally has taken a lot of battering, but brand London remains strong. The UK's reputation has taken a beating around Brexit, the handling of COVID, and so on, but brand London remains strong and that is why, straightaway, in the first term, straight after the country voted to leave the EU, we launched the London Is Open campaign. I talk to people and they still identify with that. That really made a huge difference in terms of keeping London open and sending that message to the world that London continues. "You are very welcome here." Similarly, for the EU Londoners, all the work that has been done from City Hall to say that EU Londoners are very welcome here and then helping them to apply for a settlement visa and things like that has really made a difference.

One of the greatest strengths of our city is its diversity and the talent pool. That is what we highlight significantly when we talk to international investors and that is one of the reasons why a lot of people invest in the UK, because regardless of the industry sector, you will find amazingly talented people. That is one thing that we should never lose sight of. Unfortunately, we do not control immigration or borders but that is why I think it is important that the Mayor has asked for more devolved powers, so that we are able to work with businesses and identify the sectors where there is more shortage of talent and then promote and bring talent from abroad, should the need be.

**Sakina Sheikh AM:** Great. Just to finish off this question, it is good to hear how that strategy has been pivoted both in the context of Brexit and the pandemic. I just wanted to ask what you think the role of the Government is in supporting London in remaining an attractive hub for FPS both in the context of Brexit and the pandemic. What support has there been and what role do you think there should be?

**Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business):** All I would say is that the Mayor and I are very supportive of levelling up. In fact, even in the first term, several times I went to other parts of the UK and different cities with the message that London is open, not just to the world but also within the UK too. We have tried to build bridges and we have tried to say this message. For example, when we buy trains in the UK they are supporting jobs in Derby, if we are buying new buses in London then they are supporting jobs in Yorkshire, and so on and

so forth. We are all on one side, London and the rest of the UK are on one side, and when London does well, the whole country does well. That message is very important for all the cities in the UK.

When we talk about the Government, we say, "Look, we support the levelling up agenda but there is so much levelling up to be done within London as well. We have some of the most deprived parts of the country here in London. Let us work together in trying to make this happen." There cannot be a UK recovery without a London recovery. Keeping London out of the room is not the answer. We are part of the solution, not part of the problem. London is the economic engine of this country; we can really help level up in the country and we can really help in the UK's recovery. That is the message to the Government.

Sakina Sheikh AM: Thank you. Allen, did you want to add anything, or has it been covered?

Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners): We benefit from and really welcome very strong working relationships with the Minister for Investment, [Lord] Gerry Grimstone, who I was at Barclays with, but also Paul Scully [MP], the Minister for Small Business and Minister for London, and Nigel Huddleston [MP, Minister for Sport, Tourism, Heritage and Civil Society] on tourism. It is a really strong working relationship, but as the Vice-Chair of the Northern Powerhouse [Partnership] said, this is not a question of London versus the north, it is a question of London and the north arguing for more devolution.

If I was to ask for two practical things from central Government, it would be first to help us with funding a London international recovery campaign, and we are in conversations with them about that. We have been working with the Tourism Minister and the Minister for London to develop this. We can identify and target tourists who are most likely to come to London and then distribute. We know who they are, we know demographically who they are, and we can go after them.

Then the second thing would be that our trade schemes are funded by European money. Well, money via Europe; it is UK money, ultimately. That money is obviously running off and it is quite important that we find ways of funding those schemes with replacement money. You look at the international trade programme that we run, the Mayor's International Business Programme (MIBP). Monzo, Revolut, Checkout.com and Bloom & Wild have all been through that scheme and done very well. I am sure they would say that they played some role in their own success as well, but it would be a mistake not to replace those schemes. We genuinely welcome the co-working that we have with Government and I would encourage them to build on that by supporting an international investment campaign and the trade work.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Thank you. Before we move on to Assembly Member Garratt, can I just ask you: I and many Members, over my time on the Assembly, have been sceptical sometimes of L&P and what it has done. I am beginning to warm up to it, but I have to make this challenge. This is a genuine question, and I would prefer you wrote to us because it might take some time to answer. Why would the Government give money to L&P to promote London and the specific thing you said around tourism, and not UK tourism?

**Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners):** Can I answer that briefly, because I know you want to move on --

Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman): Please do.

**Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners):** -- but then I am happy to write in more length. There are very practical reasons. By the way, the industry that works across the country agrees

with us on this. London needs a specific sort of message. The way that you acquire tourists for London requires a different tone of voice and sorts of graphics. We talked about this last time when we talked about tourism, but marketing is perhaps less on the creative and more on the analytics and targeting. The people who you can bring to London are different to the people you can bring to the Cotswolds. You do need quite a specific sort of campaign to reach them both in terms of what it looks like and who you are reaching, and the industry would absolutely agree with that and have made that point to central Government quite well, I think.

The second thing is that London is one of the top three reasons that people visit the UK. One of the others is heritage. I forget, off the top of my head, the third.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Our weather.

**Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners):** Indeed. It is probably is, yes. If you lose London from the message, you see a decline in tourism. It is generally accepted in the industry and if you were to talk to any industry representatives, they would share this view. London requires quite a specific message. That is phase one.

Question two is: who is the right person to deliver that message? I think it is generally felt that we have more strong expertise in the London message than, for instance, Visit Britain or Visit England do. They are very, very good at what they do but we are London specialists.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** I get it. You have a London focus. I just ask because, of course, L&P were set up to have some public funding from the GLA and some private, and the proportion has wildly swung to the public purse. Is there a plan to change that?

Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners): It has in the last year and a half, of course. The Committee are aware that our private sector money comes from a number of sources. We run the .london domain name, which is profit-making. We sell tickets to Harry Potter to tourists who are coming in. We have sponsorships. The .london domain name is still doing quite well because it is less affected, but ticket sales, of course, are very down and organisations are cutting back on sponsorship. Although in relative terms our private sector income, like a lot of organisations, has taken a turn and we have had to work very hard to restructure the business - and I led that in my day job as Managing Director of Strategy - to respond to that, the intention is absolutely to rebuild that revenue stream.

What I would say if you do not mind, very quickly, Chairman - and I know you have heard me say this before, but I do intellectually believe it to be true - is that Berlin and Paris have twice our public funding, New York has three times, Singapore has ten times. It is generally understood that organisations like ours address a market failure and they address a market failure on behalf of the public. So long as they can generate the returns and investment needed, they are generally seen as being a considerably above-average use of public money in terms of economic impact. The £2.5 billion - or £2.6 billion now - created since we were set up by the previous Mayor does significantly outpace the amount of public money that we have --

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** I know. As I said, in the past, many Assembly Members, including myself, have been sceptical. I am warming up to it and I do not think we need to go through it now because we have other questions we need to answer --

**Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners):** OK, sure.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** -- but I still think there are a few questions to answer before I start waving a L&P flag.

Just quickly to the Deputy Mayor, what has the MIBP achieved? You talked earlier about some of the good times you have had travelling abroad selling London, and I do not say that as any kind of criticism. How many high-potential firms have come to London because of the activities of the MIBP, for instance?

Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business): I can write to you with some detail, with exact numbers and so on, but I can tell you that about 1,000 companies, roughly, have gone through the MIBP. Again, Allen can add more detail to it, but essentially these are typically a size of around 15-odd entrepreneurs in one group and they are from a particular subsector. It could be fintech, it could be fashion tech, it could be life sciences, it could be business-to-business (B2B) tech, and so on. Then it is quite customised. These trade missions are then taken. Now, of course, in the last two years that has not been the case. It has been more virtual.

With these trade missions, we put them in front of potential partners, potential customers, and potential investors. A huge amount -- and I can give you the exact figures because we have the exact numbers --

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** I am going to stop you there because we understand what the activity is. We want to see what the impact is. Why don't you commit to write to us as a Committee and give us some numbers of that?

Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business): Yes.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** We would be very interested because that, if done correctly, would be a good spend of public money to make things happen.

Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business): It is amazing.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Yes. Please give us some detail on that. If you are very, very quick.

**Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners):** Very quick. Just wanted to make a distinction. The job of the MIBP is to take companies out rather than to bring companies in.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Yes, and I would like the details on that as well. That is where I started; the Deputy Mayor went somewhere else.

**Hina Bokhari AM (Deputy Chair):** We have talked a little bit, from Assembly Member Sheikh's questions, about L&P's work and the Mayor's work promoting London, but I do want to talk a little bit more about how we make London an internationally attractive place to do business. One of the things, Rajesh, I would like to talk to you a little bit about is that you were talking about how you think the promotion of saying "London is open" has been so successful, and yet the reality is not always the case that London is open, particularly if we think about the visa issues. The EU is now no longer doing passporting. The Government has not agreed to any equivalents and has actually given up on doing an equivalent version of this. The Economy Committee in 2016 said they wanted their own visa system. What is the Mayor doing in terms of encouraging or pushing for this new way of working and perhaps even having a visa system?

Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business): Again, one of the main reasons why London is successful as a city is that people from around the world come and work and make this city their home. Almost 40% of Londoners were born outside of the UK, and it is important that we have an immigration system which is business-led, which is economy-led and which is business-friendly. That is why the Mayor backed the COVID recovery visa which has been called for by some business organisations, because we know that in so many different industry sectors, we are seeing a huge shortage of people. I know that there are restaurants who are not able to open at full capacity because they do not have people and so on. That is why the Mayor has backed that.

Also, in the last few months particularly, businesses have suffered because of a lot of travel restrictions. Again, the Mayor, a couple of months ago, wrote to the Transport Secretary to open the borders from countries where there are low risks with all the precautions. To help business, we need to bring international travel back. Sadly, we do not control the borders or have any direct powers over immigration, but it is important, especially in a post-Brexit London/post-Brexit Britain, that people who are from elsewhere, who are not from the UK - who are, let us say, from the EU and are living in London - they feel like home and they feel welcomed. That was part of the thinking behind the London Is Open campaign and all the stuff we did with the EU Londoners in London. We will continue to bang the drum for London, and --

**Hina Bokhari AM (Deputy Chair):** Saying one thing when the reality is a different thing does give a false impression, does it not? That is my concern. I want London to be open, but it really has to be open. That is what we need to make sure that we are pushing the Government to do, and I am sure that the Mayor will continue to do that.

The impact of L&P's work on promoting London internationally as an attractive place to do business, can you tell us a little bit more about that, Allen? Particularly I am interested in the targets that you have set for L&P in this particular area. I did some learning and I know that there is a way of doing this in terms of using SMART criteria - see, I am learning here - and I would like to know little bit more about how you are being specific, measurable, agreed, realistic and timetabled in the way you are doing your measuring.

**Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners):** Are you particularly interested in, if you like, the promotional side?

**Hina Bokhari AM (Deputy Chair):** Yes, we are looking at promotion and how to make London an attractive place for business.

Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners): Sure. There is a chain of logic where you tend to think of it in terms of a funnel, so you change people's consideration and then you acquire. Just to start with the principles and then talk about how we measure each stage, you start with quite broad work to try to change the way that people think about London. In the case of our inward investment work you are talking about quite an identifiable and small group of people who own businesses in Silicon Valley, own businesses in Shenzhen or Bengaluru. You start by doing that and then, once you have identified them, our teams in markets go and talk to them and try to identify their business models and see whether or not we can convince them to come to London. Then, ultimately, hopefully, we do.

At the start of every year, we set a set of targets. The core goal for us is gross value added (GVA) creation, economic growth creation. This year we set a target for GVA creation which, actually, we beat by the end of

the first half of the year (H1). Somewhat to our surprise, we delivered a V-shaped recovery in-year. We retargeted and we have now delivered £182 million of additional economic activity to London this year. We have a core GVA target.

Then, on our brand work, it gets very targeted to the specific thing that we are trying to do. We set targets around the number of people we reach, the reach of our website and that sort of thing. Those are not published targets because, frankly, they are input targets. Lots of people coming to our website does not do anything for London unless at the end of it we see the companies coming to London. We will have specific internal targets for our websites, for specific campaigns, and then we go back and we validate whether or not we have delivered against them, but we very specifically do not have public targets for that work because it is an input measure, not an output measure, if that makes sense.

For something like Let's Do London, where the campaign is its own goal in a way that the rest of our brand work is not, then we can be more specific and publish it. You can say, "How many people do we want to reach? What is the size of the economic impact you want to have?" and that sort of thing. Ultimately, on the trade and investment work, everything we do is geared towards our core metric, which is the amount of GVA created in pound sterling.

**Hina Bokhari AM (Deputy Chair):** If I was to assess your success, what do I need to be looking for specifically? Are you going to be able to provide very clear data? Is it footfall? Is it money? Is it amount of businesses that increase? Is it the way we trade? What am I going to be looking for?

Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners): It is GVA. It is gross value added. It is additional economic activity as a result of our work. I do not want to bore too much on the methodology, and I will send the methodology, but conceptually it is growth which would not have been created without us. How do we do that? Well, we say, "How much of the company's decision to come to London is due to us?" We know that we are not the major reason, so we factor out all of the parts of their decision-making which were not due to us.

We also look at displacement. It was not one of our companies, but when Uber came to London, they created jobs. They also affected existing jobs. We are always looking to see what is the additional number of jobs, based on any losses.

We also assume that a job created today is worth more than a job created in the future. If a company says, "We are going to create ten jobs in three years," we consider that to be worth less than ten jobs today because we all know things can go wrong.

We adjust for the fact that entrepreneurs are always overexcited about how well they are going to do. If we ask a company, "How many jobs are you creating?" and they say, "300", and we know what their sector is, we can look back at the last set of investments in that sector, see what they told us they were creating versus what they did, and apply another discount. The idea is you start with a company coming to London and creating 1,000 jobs. Brilliant. How much of that is due to us, how much of it displaces economic activity somewhere else, and how much of it is over-ambition? Then we capture that smaller bit. GVA is ultimately the thing.

Now, we do know how many jobs we have created because GVA is the value of a job times the number of jobs. We know how many companies we have brought to London. That is a bit over 2,000. Jobs created are about 75,000. We have that data, but our core metric is GVA because that, fundamentally, is the actual output.

**Hina Bokhari AM (Deputy Chair):** Just lastly, do you honestly believe that it is your work directly from L&P that has made that direct impact?

**Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners):** That is exactly the right question. On balance, no; a little bit, yes. If a company has come to London, we are part of that decision, but we are not the majority part of that decision. What we do is we try and affect marginal decision-making.

By the way, we only capture companies who we know are looking competitively at London and somewhere else. If they phone us up and this happens, "Hi, I want to come to London, can you help us?" we do help them, but it does not go into the pot and it does not get captured. We only capture it if we have been actively fighting against Paris, Berlin, Stockholm, Shenzhen or wherever. We do not capture if we are competing against somewhere in the UK, we deliberately do not do that because that drives the wrong behaviours from a levelling-up perspective. We specifically, in our methodology, only capture the small amount of it that we can legitimately say is due to us.

By the way, just very quickly, if you put our numbers through the central Government's methodology, we would be counting a much higher number. We are considered to be highly conservative, and, in fact, our auditors who audit our performance have said to us in both years that they have audited these numbers, "You are too conservative in your measurement, you are worth more than this."

**Zack Polanski AM:** Deputy Mayor, we talked quite a while ago now about tourism. Now, I am the Chair of the Environment Committee, and I might have Shirley Rodrigues as Deputy Mayor for Environment come to the Environment Committee and talk about reducing aviation, and then you are coming here and talking about promoting tourism and internationalism. Of course, we do need tourism, particularly domestic tourism. Would you and the Mayor lobby the Government for a frequent flier levy, for instance, to square this circle, to make sure that if people are coming by means that are not particularly green, that we are still tackling the climate emergency?

**Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business):** There are many ways to get to the UK and obviously flying is only one way. There is Eurostar; there are so many different ways of doing it. Our public Transport Strategy within London is more cycling, using more public transport; when you look at the international it is on similar lines, wherever you can.

We have to be very careful. We have to keep "London Is Open," we have to start answering that question, London is open, and then we have to make it easier for people to come into London through different ways. I have not looked straight into the duty, but there are other ways to promote as well. For example, one of the points that we recently made to the Treasury is where they abolished duty-free, VAT-free shopping in London, which has hurt a lot of London retail businesses in the West End, in particular. Those are the other things that can be done to encourage tourism.

**Zack Polanski AM:** I have lots more to ask but I will not wind up the Chairman, I will just say thank you.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Sorry, I will just say, you did not say if you would support the levy or not. Yes or no?

Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business): Again, we have not looked into it.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** OK. You have not looked into it.

**Neil Garratt AM:** It has been a very interesting discussion. Actually, perhaps I should declare a minor interest in that before I did this, I was part of London's thriving service export economy. That is actually what brought me to London.

What I would like to do, if I can, is go around, and I will finish with Rajesh at the end. The discussion has been very interesting, and we have captured a lot of issues that I recognise. What I would like, since we are approaching Christmas, is a sort of list for Santa, from Government and from the Mayor, about what they could do to ensure that London continues to be a thriving international finance centre and to ensure that it continues to be a thriving destination for these service companies. The company I worked for is an American company and when they expanded into Europe, the Middle East and Africa (EMEA) they located here. Obviously, there are lots of other places they could have gone. How do we make sure that companies like that keep making that decision? If I could stress, perhaps bullet points rather than paragraphs. If we start with Sarah, what would be your bullet point list from the Mayor and from the Government?

**Professor Sarah Hall (Senior Fellow, The UK in a Changing Europe):** Leadership as a financial centre is earnt and is collaborative, so my suggestions would be in that realm. It would be demonstrating leadership internationally around regulation and contributing to international regulatory debate, particularly in areas where there are real opportunities that have been discussed this morning, for example, trying to drive harmonisation in green taxonomies to address some of the comments that Miles raised. That would be my first one.

My second one would be working to maintain an internationally and nationally open labour market for FPS. For me that would include work on visas, but it would also include work on mutual recognition of professional qualifications in areas like audit, for example. You asked for bullet points. I would draw attention to those two.

**Miles Celic (Chief Executive Officer, TheCityUK):** I very much agree with what Sarah said. A partnership approach across Government, whether that is central, devolved, or local, is absolutely critical. As we touched upon, talent is absolutely key to London and the UK's future success on this.

Getting the right regulatory approach in place. I think Allen touched on this earlier, but it not about deregulation. There is no future for London or the UK in being a low standards regulatory centre. It is about having high standards but being nimble and being able to lean into future areas of growth such as green, such as risk management, such as digital and such as fintech, and then making sure that we remain internationally competitive on tax issues.

**Neil Garratt AM:** Thanks, Miles. Anna, what is on your list?

**Anna Purchas (London Region Chair and Office Senior Partner, KPMG):** I absolutely agree on mobility and the mutual recognition of professional qualifications. I would add in, from your area, regulation around

data. I also think the point around working together, public and private, is hugely important. It has been a fantastic conversation today. More focus on that. Lastly, just recognition that London is a global city and our global pre-eminence is a huge asset to the UK. The focus on that.

**Neil Garratt AM:** Thank you very much. I do not think I said that it was information technology (IT) but that was a very good guess, if it was a guess.

**Allen Simpson (Interim Chief Executive Officer, London & Partners):** It is not wholly in the UK Government's gift but addressing the access problem. I am looking here at a list of equivalencies and adequacy of determinations we do not have. It is not wholly in their gift but that would be nice. In my small, limited part of the world, I would say replacing the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) funding could be transformative.

**Neil Garratt AM:** Thank you very much, and if we could finish with Rajesh.

**Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business):** Yes, thank you. It is really good to hear that list and reinforce my view already, and I am glad we are working on some of the things which are directly linked to us. For example, we are working with other cities and working with fintech - my own background is that I am a fintech entrepreneur - which is an area which is growing really fast in London. Again, many of the MIBP trips have been fintech-related. There have been a lot of fintech investments that have been attracted in London.

On the regulation side, we work with the FCA and with the Bank of England. In fact, I remember one of the fintech delegations I took to China about three years ago and there was a representative of the Bank of England on that trip as well. I agree with the point that we should not lower our standards. One of the great things about Britain is that the FCA regulations are considered gold-standard around the world, and it is part of our great reputation.

What we can do in terms of fostering innovation and so on, we will continue to do, but the labour market remains key. That is why we have to keep pressing it. It keeps on coming back. It is not just this sector but across all sectors. We have to continue to press to the Government that we have to keep it open.

Finally, just one last point because we are so close to the thing. News headlines like the TfL funding deal, for example, when that gets published in *Financial Times*, do not send a great message to people round the world. It would be great if there is a deal that can sort that out. It is not a good look for London or Britain in front of the investors, and so on.

**Neil Garratt AM:** In terms of what the Mayor is doing to both publicise London overseas and, as part of that, to attract businesses potentially locating or investing here, is all of that work that the Mayor does essentially through L&P? That is the one vehicle?

**Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business):** L&P is the main but there is a lot of direct and indirect work done by the Mayor as well, for example, being at COP26 and meeting potential investors there, and meeting potential investors here when they are visiting London. The Mayor unfortunately has not been able to travel very much in the last two years but when he is travelling abroad, he also meets international investors there. When we talk about promoting London, there are so many different ways of promoting London, whether it is online, whether it is offline, whether it is through events, whether it is speaking at various events,

and so on. It is all of that in combination, but a lot of the work related to foreign direct investment (FDI) is done via L&P.

**Neil Garratt AM:** On that, my colleague has already quizzed Allen about the metrics and I think I did at the previous meeting as well, so I will perhaps take a break from quizzing L&P about their metrics. The other part of that work that you just described, how do we measure how much of that goes on and what impact, if any, that has? This is the non-L&P bit of what the Mayor is doing to promote London.

**Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business):** Like I said, L&P's one is very direct and measurable, and I think if you focus mainly on that. It is very hard to put a number on "the Mayor met so-and-so." It is with L&P.

One thing I would recommend - this has happened in the past, and there are a lot of new Assembly Members as well - is for L&P to do a session, now they are based in this building, with the Assembly Members to explain the metrics in some detail and more about that work. I would encourage the chief executive officer (CEO) of London & Partners to do that.

**Neil Garratt AM:** That is a good idea, and I will take that up, but my question was about the other bit. The other bit is not really captured because it is a bit more vague and fuzzy, about handshake conversations at a conference.

**Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy Mayor for Business):** It is all part of London's soft power, the Mayor's soft power, as opposed to direct numbers.

**Neil Garratt AM:** Thanks very much, Chairman, I will leave that there.

**Shaun Bailey AM (Chairman):** Thank you very much, Assembly Member. At this point, we will be coming to an end. I would just like to thank my guests. I would just say this has been a very good, broad conversation. Some of the things we will take away as a Committee are that London has no god-given right to its position, we are going to have to work for it, and I think that is a good place; London as the head office of the world, I think we need to work on that as a concept as well; and regulation. I have now transported the word "regulation" into my head as "standards." I think a number of the guests here have suggested that regulations are actually good standards. Often in politics, regulations are seen as red tape; to see them as high standards, I think, will be useful.

Then, of course, the fact that London is part of Britain. The problem we have had recently is that for political reasons it suits to set London against the country, but we all know that London is part of the country and want to take our place next to these regions, not ahead of them. That is another message that came loud and clear from our guests.

Again, I would like to thank our guests for their attendance and their very, very high level of expertise. You have done a very good thing for the Committee and we will be taking forward many of your recommendations when we write our report on this particular aspect of our work.

# **Subject: Summary List of Actions**

Report to:	Economy Committee
Report of:	Executive Director of Assembly Secretariat
Date:	3 February 2022
Public Access:	This report will be considered in public

#### 1. Summary

1.1 This report updates the Committee on the progress made on actions arising from previous meetings of the Economy Committee.

#### 2. Recommendation

2.1 That the Committee notes the completed and ongoing actions arising from its previous meetings of the Economy Committee.

Page 41 V3/2021

# 3. Summary List of Actions

## Actions Arising from the Meeting Held on 10 December 2021

Item No.:	Item Title	Responsible Person	Action(s)	Status
5	The Future of London's Financial and Professional Services	Deputy Mayor for Business	<ul> <li>The Deputy Mayor agreed to provide the following:</li> <li>The reason that the publication of the Green Finance Initiative interim report was delayed;</li> <li>Details on each of the Mayor's business boards, including their roles; and</li> <li>Details on what the Mayor's International Business Programme has achieved.</li> </ul>	Completed. Attached at Appendix 1.
5	The Future of London's Financial and Professional Services	Interim Chief Executive, London & Partners (L&P)	<ul> <li>The Interim Chief Executive agreed to provide the following:</li> <li>A copy of the L&amp;P strategy for 2018-2021;</li> <li>Further information on why the Government would provide funding to L&amp;P to promote tourism in London and not UK tourism; and</li> <li>Further information on L&amp;P's methodology when calculating success.</li> <li>The Deputy Mayor for Business also suggested that L&amp;P arranges a meeting with Assembly Members to provide additional information on the metrics they used and how they work.</li> </ul>	Completed. Attached at Appendix 2. The documents mentioned in the letter were circulated to Members separately.
5	The Future of London's Financial and Professional Services	Senior Policy Adviser	That authority be delegated to the Chairman, in consultation with party Group Lead Members, to agree any output arising from the discussion.	Ongoing.

## Actions Arising from the Meeting Held on 10 November 2021

Item No.:	Item Title	Responsible Person	Action(s)	Status
5	Economically Insecure Londoners: Financial Exclusion and the Gig Economy	for Business for Business ancial clusion and	<ul> <li>The Deputy Mayor agreed to:</li> <li>Discuss the issue of the number of school cycle trainers reducing across London with the Deputy Mayor for Transport and the Walking and Cycling Commissioner, and feed back to the Committee;</li> </ul>	Completed. Attached at Appendix 3.
			Inform the Committee of any correspondence that the Mayor or Deputy Mayor has sent to the Government regarding the Employment Bill; and	
			Provide a sector by sector breakdown of the number of employers and employees have signed up to the Good Work Standard, in particular those outside of the public sector.	
5	Economically Insecure Londoners: Financial Exclusion and the Gig Economy	Director of Policy and Innovation, Toynbee Hall	The Director of Policy and Innovation agreed to provide further information on whether there is a generational difference between trust and confidence in online safety, particularly in relation to online financial transactions.	Ongoing. A follow-up request was made on 18 January 2022.
5	Economically Insecure Londoners: Financial Exclusion and the Gig Economy	Senior Policy Adviser	That authority be delegated to the Chairman, in consultation with party Group Lead Members, to agree any output arising from the discussion.	Ongoing.

# Actions Arising from the Meeting Held on 13 October 2021

Item No.:	Item Title	Responsible Person	Action(s)	Status
6	Rebuilding London's Tourism Industry	Senior Policy Adviser	That authority be delegated to the Chairman, in consultation with party Group Lead Members, to agree any output arising from the discussion.	Ongoing.

#### Actions Arising from the Meeting Held on 6 September 2021

Item No.:	Item Title	Responsible Person	Action(s)	Status
5	Rebuilding the Night-time Economy	Night Czar	<ul> <li>A copy of the London Recovery Missions quarterly report;</li> <li>Further information on how the outcomes of the High Streets For All Challenge Fund will be measured; and</li> <li>Copies of the recent letters and any responses that the Mayor and the Night Czar have sent or</li> </ul>	Ongoing. A follow-up request was made on 18 January 2022.
			received from the Government.	
5	Rebuilding the Night-time Economy	Senior Policy Adviser	That authority be delegated to the Chairman, in consultation with party Group Lead Members, to agree any output arising from the discussion.	Completed. See Agenda Item 6.

#### Actions Arising from the Meeting Held on 14 July 2021

Item No.:	Item Title	Responsible Person	Action(s)	Status
10	Skills and Training for London's Economic Recovery	Policy Lead, Partnership for Young London	The Policy Lead agreed to provide the Committee with additional data on insecure work, broken down by ethnicity and sector.	Ongoing. A follow-up request was made on 18 January 2022.
10	Skills and Training for London's Economic Recovery	Senior Policy Adviser	That authority be delegated to the Chairman, in consultation with party Group Lead Members, to agree any output arising from the discussion.	Ongoing.

#### 4. Legal Implications

4.1 The Committee has the power to do what is recommended in this report.

#### 5. Financial Implications

5.1 There are no financial implications arising from this report.

#### List of appendices to this report:

Appendix 1 – Correspondence from the Deputy Mayor for Business, dated 17 January 2022

Appendix 2 – Correspondence from the Interim Chief Executive of L&P, dated 19 January 2022

Appendix 3 - Correspondence from the Deputy Mayor for Business, dated 7 December 2021

#### Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

List of Background Papers:

None.

# **Contact Information**

Contact Officer:	Lauren Harvey, Senior Committee Officer
Telephone:	07729 625571
E-mail:	lauren.harvey@london.gov.uk

Date: 17<sup>th</sup> January 2022

#### **MAYOR OF LONDON**

**Shaun Bailey AM** 

Chair
London Assembly Economy Committee
C/o Lauren.Harvey@london.gov.uk

Dear Shaun,

Thank you for inviting me to take part in the London Assembly Economy Committee's meeting on the future of financial and professional services in the capital.

Please find the following responses to the requested information:

- The reason that the publication of the Green Finance Initiative interim report was delayed
- The Green Finance Institute's Interim report looking at the recommendations of the London Sustainable Development Commission's 'Financing for a Future London' was underway when the pre-election period started for the Mayoral elections in 2021. As part of the Mayor's 2021 manifesto he included a commitment to 'establish a new financing facility to bring more investment for infrastructure into London'.
- Since being re-elected last May the Mayor has been working with the Green Finance Institute on a Financing Facility Optioneering Report. The work on the Optioneering Report has a wider scope than the previous work as it aims to assess the various options that could be pursued in establishing this new financing facility and delivering on his commitment. The work that was undertaken for the Interim Report has and continues to be used, as appropriate, to inform this Optioneering Report. The Optioneering Report is currently in draft, it will be completed in spring 2022 and then it will be published.
- 2. Details on each of the Mayor's business boards, including their roles
- Please see annex for details of the Mayor's business boards.

- 3. Details on what the Mayor's International Business Programme has achieved
- The Mayor's International Business Programme is an award-winning cohort programme for high growth scale-ups and has supported over 1,100 businesses to expand and win international business through workshops, trade missions and mentoring. Since its inception in 2016 it has conducted over 62 international trade visits to the US, India, China, Japan and Europe, including 16 virtual missions since the start of the pandemic. As of November 2021, L&P's cohort members have completed deals worth over £193 million and raised more than £486 million investment.

Yours sincerely,

**Rajesh Agrawal** 

**Deputy Mayor of London for Business** 

#### Annex - Details of Mayor's business boards

#### **London Economic Action Partnership (LEAP)**

#### <u>Role</u>

LEAP is the local enterprise partnership for the Greater London area. It brings together entrepreneurs, business leaders and public sector leaders with the mayoralty and advises the Mayor on the long-term vision for economic development in the capital, including delivery of the Economic Development Strategy and London Recovery Programme.

LEAP provides strategic direction and oversight for the investment of London's allocation of several major funds, including HM Government's Growing Places and Local Growth Funds and the European Structural and Investment Funds. It has strategic oversight of the Royal Docks Enterprise Zone, including the investment of retained business rates.

#### Membership

Name	Role
Sadiq Khan	Mayor of London
Rajesh Agrawal (Deputy	Deputy Mayor of London for Business
Chair)	
Cllr Georgia Gould	Chair, London Councils
(Deputy Chair)	
Cllr Nesil Caliskan	Executive Member for Employment and Skills, London
	Councils
Cllr Elizabeth Campbell	Executive Member for Business, Economy and Culture,
	London Councils
Greg Clark CBE	Business Member
James Cronin	Business Member
Rokhsana Fiaz OBE	Mayor of Newham
Katharine Glass	Business Member
Sam Gurney	Trades union representative
Angus Knowles-Cutler	Business Member
Jamie Mitchell	Business Member
John Newbigin OBE	Business Member
Jules Pipe CBE	Deputy Mayor of London for Planning, Regeneration and
	Skills
Nita P.Woods	Business Member
Simon Pitkeathley	Business Member

#### **Business Advisory Board**

#### Role

The Business Advisory Board was set up in August 2016 to help the Mayor meet his manifesto commitment to be the most pro-business Mayor yet. The Board advises the Mayor on the major issues facing London's economy and the board members share creative and innovative ideas to improve City Hall's policy making.

The board has provided input on policies and programmes directly relating to business and growth, and wider policy issues such as crime and policing, environment, infrastructure and transport.

#### Membership

Name	Title / Organisation
Constantin Cotzias	Director, Bloomberg Europe
Dame Jayne-Anne Gadhia	Founder and Executive Chair, Snoop
Dame Vivian Hunt	Senior Partner, McKinsey & Company
Debbie Wosskow	Co-founder, AllBright
Julie Abraham	CEO, Richer Sounds
Julie Brown	COO & CFO, Burberry Group
Kym Denny	Former CEO, hVIVO
Sir Lloyd Dorfman	Chairman, Doddle
Nicola Mendelsohn	VP of Global Business Group, META
Nikhil Rathi	CEO, Financial Conduct Authority
Omid Ashtari	COO, Streetbees
Shalini Khemka	CEO, E2Exchange
Sir William Sargent	Co-founder, Framestore



London & Partners, 1st Floor, 169 Union Street, London SE1 OLL **T** +44 (0)20 7234 5800 **F** +44 (0)20 7378 6525 londonandpartners.com

Chair Chairman of the Economy Committee
(Sent by email)
19 <sup>th</sup> January 2022

Dear Chair,

Many thanks for having me to the Committee's session on 10<sup>th</sup> December 2020 to discuss the recovery of London's tourism industry and for your subsequent follow-up letter of 22<sup>nd</sup> December.

In that letter you requested four specific additional pieces of information:

- 1. A copy of the L&P strategy for 2018-2021.
- 2. Further information on why the Government would provide funding to L&P to promote tourism in London and not UK tourism
- 3. Further information on L&P's methodology when calculating success
- 4. The Deputy Mayor for Business also suggested that L&P arranges a meeting with Assembly Members to provide additional information on the metrics they used and how they work.

If I may, I will deal with each of them in turn below. Of course, should you or the Committee require anything further, please do not hesitate to ask.

#### 1. <u>L&P 2018 – 2021 strategy</u>

As I mentioned during the session, I am more than happy to recirculate our business plans for that period. I have attached the relevant documents for ease but do let me know if there are any specific follow-ups to it. To note, this is not our current strategy, which can be found on our website <a href="here">here</a>, but reflects the time period as discussed in the session.

#### 2. HMG tourism funding

In terms of tourism recovery, L&P's focus has shifted to the return of international visitors. We are aware of the industry's appetite to build on the success of the Let's Do London campaign and we remain in discussion with the Mayor of London, HMG and the industry as to how such a campaign might be funded.

The case that we and others have made to HMG is predicated on London's role in the overall UK tourism sector. For context, the below is adapted from our recent submission to the Digital, Culture, Media and Sport Select Committee's inquiry – Promoting Britain Abroad:

L&P believes that it is important to note the significant role that London plays in the overall UK tourism sector. London is the  $3^{rd}$  biggest driver for people visiting the UK (behind visiting a new country and history and heritage) and accounted for 53% of the UK's international visits in 2019.<sup>1</sup>

However, as a global city, London was disproportionately affected by the pandemic. This was in large part caused by the significant reduction in footfall to the Central Activity Zone (CAZ) both domestically but, crucially, because of the city's reliance on international visitors. This is demonstrated by the Centre for Cities data which shows that London's footfall recovery is only 53% as of November 2021 compared with Birmingham (79%), Manchester (73%) and Glasgow (64%).<sup>2</sup>

That disproportionate impact is demonstrated by the fact that a higher proportion of London visitor spend comes from international markets. In 2019, 84% of overnight tourism spend in London came from international visitors, compared to 37% for the rest of Great Britain.

Looking at the UK more broadly, there were 11.1 million inbound visits in 2020, a 73% decline from the visit levels seen in 2019. The majority (63%) of the visits to the UK were in Q1 (Jan-March). Visits in Q1 were down 16%, Q2 96%, Q3 80% and Q4 87%, compared to 2019. In 2020 inbound visitors to the UK spent a total of £6.2 billion, a decline of 78% on 2019 results. $^3$ 

This represents a loss vs the counterfactual pre-COVID forecast for 2020 of 31.0 million visits and £24.1 billion spending.<sup>4</sup>

The figures available so far for 2021 show that were only 195,000 inbound visits to the UK in Q1 2021 – down 96% compared to Q1 2020. Spend was down 94% year-on-year (YoY) with inbound visitors spending £248 million in the UK during Q1 2021. In total 10.4 million nights were spent in the UK by inbound visitors during Q1 2021, down 75% year on year.<sup>5</sup>

For Q2 2021 the UK received 277,000 visits in Q2 2021, 97% below Q2 2019. There were 471,000 inbound visits to the UK in the first half of 2021 – down 97% compared to the same period in 2019. Visitors spent £386 million in Q2 2021, down 94% on Q2 2019. The first half of 2021 saw £634 million spent by overseas visitors to the UK. $^6$ 

It should be noted, however, that the above figures are limited both because of the low base sizes and the fact that the data is collected from air passengers only.<sup>7</sup>

Therefore, it is crucial to understand that any recovery plan for inbound UK tourism must also support London – not only because of the disproportionate impact but also to leverage London's status and draw as a springboard for tourism to other parts of the UK. There is significant potential to capitalise on London's position as a gateway for wider tourism. Those visitors that go on to spend time elsewhere in the UK contribute £641m to the local economies they visit.<sup>8</sup>

This comprises 10% of the nation's international visitors and L&P believes that any recovery plan should aim to significantly increase that number with London working in conjunction with the devolved nations and other areas of the country.

We firmly believe that a slower recovery for London's international tourism market will therefore inevitably have a negative knock-on effect for the rest for the rest of the UK. In fact, without intervention L&P estimates that London's international visitor recovery will take at least 4 years (including 2021), resulting in a loss to the economy of £21.3 billion, the equivalent of 192,000 FTE jobs.<sup>9</sup>

#### 3. L&P's methodology

As you know, L&P's measures of success are based on Gross Value Added (GVA). In summary:

• GVA is the economic added value created when producing goods or providing services.

• It is the difference between the price paid for a good or service and the cost of inputs used in

its production.

 GVA measures profits and wages of London-based businesses and London's employees. By measuring our impact on increasing GVA, we are reporting our economic contribution to

London.

In terms of measurements:

• We measure the economic benefits to the city with GVA and jobs retained.

• Economic impact figures are expressed in terms of GVA (economic wealth), unless stated

otherwise.

• We also estimate additional leisure tourists, business delegates, major event-goers and

additional sales made by London's small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) that have been

part of our programmes.

For ease I have attached the full methodology as well.

Meeting with Assembly Members to discuss L&P metrics

I would be absolutely delighted to facilitate a meeting for any member of the Committee or wider

Assembly on this point either on a one-to-one basis or in a group.

If I may, this is something I will pick up once the working from home restrictions have been relaxed as

I believe that holding a session like this would be much more productive in person.

I hope that the above and attached are of assistance to the Committee. Many thanks for having me in front of you last month and, as ever, I can provide any further information please do not hesitate to

ask.

Yours sincerely,

Allen Simpson

Managing Director, Strategy and Operations

huffigh

**London & Partners** 

This page is intentionally left blank

Shaun Bailey AM
Chair of the London Assembly Economy
Committee
City Hall
Kamal Chunchie Way
London E16 1ZE

Date: 7th December 2021

Dear Shaun

Re: Economy Committee Meeting – 10 November 2021

Thank you for the invitation to appear before the London Assembly's Economy Committee meeting on 10 November 2021 to discuss the gig economy in London. I hope members of the Committee found the discussion valuable, as I did. I detail the further information requested below.

Discuss the issue of the number of school cycle trainers reducing across London with the Deputy Mayor for Transport and the Walking and Cycling Commissioner, and feed back to the Committee:

Thank you for raising this issue. I have contacted the Walking and Cycling Commissioner Will Norman to highlight the specific concerns around funding for this provision being reduced, and impact of short-notice cancellations.

Both the Mayor and Transport for London (TfL) recognise the important role cycle training plays in encouraging Londoners to cycle, to support an ongoing sustainable recovery. They understand the concerns and frustrations at this difficult time and are working hard to achieve a strong funding agreement which will enable us to fund this crucial area of work.

The position that TfL is currently in is a direct result of public transport use and fares revenue being drastically reduced since the beginning of the pandemic. Without income from fares, TfL was forced to seek financial support from central Government. In June, a funding agreement was reached, which extends its financial support to 11 December 2021.

This agreement set aside £100m for Active Travel programmes to be shared between TfL and London's boroughs. The £100m allocation is a lower sum than in previous years, leading to constraints on both TfL and borough programmes. This means tough decisions have had to be made, with cuts to programmes across the board.

Despite this, cycle training is one of the only TfL budgets that has not been cut. In 2021/22 TfL's budget for cycle training is £2.55m, the highest it has been for a number of years. In previous years cycle training budgets were also topped up by boroughs and small underspends from other budgets, and there has been less money available from these sources.

The Mayor and TfL are determined to ensure that cycle training for adults and children can continue in every borough, but this is now dependent on a decent funding agreement with the Government. Failure to reach a sufficient agreement could lead to much more drastic cuts across the board, including more than 100 bus routes being withdrawn and less frequent Tube timetables on a number of lines and branches. I would therefore urge you to make your voice known and use your influence with the Government to ensure a proper funding agreement is reached.

Inform the Committee of any correspondence that the Mayor or Deputy Mayor has sent to the Government regarding the Employment Bill:

Our discussion on 10 November rightly highlighted the difficulties defining worker status and protecting employment rights posed by the atypical operating models of many gig platforms. Although the Mayor of London has an important voice to call for change, many of these issues lie outside the Mayor's limited regulatory powers to resolve or enforce.

The Government has failed to legislate adequately to protect the rights of gig workers. It should bring forward its proposed Employment Bill to enact its own Good Work Plan, including a single market enforcement agency to help worker enforce their rights. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/good-work-plan

The Mayor and I are committed to doing what we can to encourage platforms to improve their employment practices and lobby for stronger legislation to protect workers. We continue to lobby government to improve practices experienced by gig economy workers. Throughout the pandemic, the Mayor and I have repeatedly written to the government calling on them to close eligibility gaps in the Self-Employment Income Support Scheme, and ensure that gig economy workers have adequate sick pay protection should they need to self-isolate.

As discussed in the meeting, the Mayor has committed to developing a new voluntary charter for good work in the gig economy. The charter will build on similar principles to the Mayor's Good Work Standard, but will specifically address themes and issues raised by workers, platforms, researchers and policy organisations with expertise in gig work. It is expected to serve as a call-to-action to platforms and Government to improve practices. The Greater London Authority's Economic Development Unit is currently engaging with a wide range of stakeholders to develop content for the charter and how it could meaningfully operate to help raise standards. Interested parties will be able to respond to a draft charter in early 2022, with a view to launching the charter by Autumn. Insight from Economy Committee members and the wider London Assembly is very welcome as part of this process.

The Mayor is also working closely with The Living Wage Foundation to understand the nature of low-pay and barriers to Living Wage uptake among platform operators. This will form part of the London as a Living Wage City programme announced during Living Wage Week. As mentioned during our meeting, the Mayor's Resilience Fund 'Gig Economy Challenge', brought together the Foundation and tech innovators Finmo to develop a tool for gig workers to help them understand how their take-home pay and expenses relates to the Living Wage, and analyse pay challenges across different types of gig work.

While it is acknowledged that many gig platforms are likely to fall short of the Good Work Standard accreditation criteria, they are welcome to pursue accreditation. The Good Work Standard team is on-hand to advise and support them through their applications.

A sector by sector breakdown of the number of employers and employees that have signed up to the Good Work Standard, in particular those outside of the public sector:

At the time of writing, 103 employers are accredited to the Mayor's Good Work Standard, demonstrating their commitment to best employment practices in fair pay and conditions, workplace wellbeing, skills, progression, diversity and recruitment. Over 235,000 Londoners work for a Good Work Standard employer. 56% of GWS employers are private sector, 25% public and 22% third.

By business sector, the following numbers of employers are currently accredited:

Architecture	2
Arts, entertainment and recreation	6
Aviation and transport	4
Business Improvement District	2
Charity, not-for-profit, co-operative or social	15
enterprise	
Education	2
Energy, electricity, gas, steam, and air	3
conditioning supply	

Engineering	2
Finance and insurance	13
Human health and social work activities	2
Legal services	3
Other service activities	2
Print, picture and sound production	2
Professional services	10
Property development and housing	7
Public sector and defence	18
Recruitment	1
Retail	3
Scientific and technical activities	1
Sport	2
Technology and internet services	1
Trade Union	2

I hope this information is useful to the Economy Committee's work. I look forward to working with you to help improve working conditions for Londoners working in the gig, on-demand and platform economies.

Yours sincerely,

**Rajesh Agrawal** 

Deputy Mayor of London for Business & Co-Deputy Chair of the London Economic Action Partnership

# Subject: Action Taken Under Delegated Authority

Report to:	Economy Committee
Report of:	Executive Director of Assembly Secretariat
Date:	3 February 2022
Public Access:	This report will be considered in public

#### 1. Summary

1.1 This report outlines recent action taken by the Chairman of the Economy Committee in accordance with the delegated authority granted by the Economy Committee.

#### 2. Recommendation

2.1 That the Committee notes the recent action taken by the Chairman of the Economy Committee under delegated authority, following consultation with the party Group Lead Members, namely to agree the Committee's report *Night vision: rebuilding London's night-time economy*, as attached at Appendix 1.

#### 3. Background

- 3.1 Under Standing Orders and the Assembly's Scheme of Delegation, certain decisions by Members can be taken under delegated authority. This report details those actions taken by the Chairman of the Economy Committee.
- 3.2 At its meeting on 6 September 2021, the Committee agreed the following delegation:
  - That authority be delegated to the Chairman, in consultation with party Group Lead Members, to agree any output arising from the discussion.
- 3.3 The Chairman exercised the above delegation on 8 December 2021 to approve the Committee's report on rebuilding London's night-time economy.

City Hall, Kamal Chunchie Way, London, E16 1ZE Enquiries: 020 7983 4100 <a href="https://www.london.gov.uk">www.london.gov.uk</a>

Page 59 v2/2021

#### 4. Issues for Consideration

- 4.1 Following consultation with the party Group Lead Members, the Chairman of the Committee approved the Committee's report, *Night vision: rebuilding London's night-time economy*, as attached at **Appendix 1**.
- 4.2 The Committee is asked to note the action taken by the Chairman under delegated authority.

#### 5. Legal Implications

5.1 The Committee has the power to do what is recommended in the report.

#### 6. Financial Implications

6.1 There are no financial implications to the Greater London Authority arising from this report.

#### List of appendices to this report:

Appendix 1 – Night vision: rebuilding London's night-time economy, published on 8 December 2021

## Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

List of Background Papers:

MDA Form 1325 [Rebuilding London's Night Time Economy]

#### **Contact Information**

Contact Officer:	Lauren Harvey, Senior Committee Officer
Telephone:	07729 625571
E-mail:	lauren.harvey@london.gov.uk



# LONDONASSEMBLY

December 2021

# **Economy Committee**



The Economy Committee scrutinises the work of the Mayor relating to economic development, wealth creation, social development, culture, sport and tourism in the capital. It also examines the Mayor's role as chair of the London Economic Action Partnership (LEAP).

#### Contact us

# **Dan Tattersall**Senior Policy Adviser Daniel.Tattersall@london.gov.uk

#### **Emma Bowden** External Communications Officer Emma.Bowden@london.gov.uk

#### **Lauren Harvey** Senior Committee Officer Lauren.Harvey@london.gov.uk

#### 3

# **Contents**

Night vision: Rebuilding London's Night-Time Economy	I
Economy Committee	2
Contact us	2
Contents	3
Foreword	4
Executive Summary	6
Recommendations	8
The night-time economy workforce	10
The London Recovery Programme	12
Personal safety at night	14
The night tube	15
Licensing arrangements	16
Clarity of messaging	17
Reputation and marketing of the night-time economy	18
About the investigation	20
Other formats and languages	21
Connect with us	22

December 2021 4

#### **Foreword**



Shaun Bailey AM
Chairman of the Economy Committee

The COVID-19 pandemic stripped away our ability to socialise with friends and family, and shut down the places where so many of these meetings occur. This absence was felt particularly strongly for those activities taking place in the evening and at night – going clubbing, watching live music, eating in bars and restaurants, and visiting the theatre or the cinema. The experiences provided by these venues disappeared from our lives, in some cases for almost 18 months.

London's night-time industries were plunged into crisis. The doors of venues were closed, staff were sent home, income streams dried up. Businesses endured unprecedented losses. The Government's business-support packages provided a vital lifeline to night-time economy industries, and almost four-fifths of workers were placed on the furlough scheme at some point. Meanwhile, London lost one of the key pillars of its identity and cultural life, as the city lay dark and empty at night.

But since restrictions were lifted on 19 July 2021, London's nightclubs and music venues joined pubs, theatres and cinemas in reopening their doors and welcoming back their customers. It's a mark of the strength and resilience of the sector that it has bounced back so quickly. It's fantastic to once again see London's nightlife thriving, as Londoners and visitors alike have flocked back to our world-famous venues. It's heartening to see the West End bustling with people on a Saturday night yet again, as people eagerly return to the sorts of experiences denied to them for so long.

But this doesn't mean that we can become complacent and assume that the challenges faced by night-time businesses have gone away. It's clear from speaking to business owners across the night-time economy that the impact of COVID-19 is still being felt and the future remains uncertain.

# Night Vision: Rebuilding London's Night-Time Economy - London Assembly Economy Committee

December 2021

This investigation by London Assembly Economy Committee has set out to better understand the challenges facing the sector and the action that the Mayor and Central Government can take to support it. We have heard from some of the key players in London's night-time economy about the impact of the pandemic upon the sector, the reopening process that has taken place over recent months, and prospects for the sector's future. The recommendations in this report are all aimed at supporting London's night-time economy, from supplying the skills needed by businesses, to making sure Londoners feel safe at night, to ensuring that support for night-time industries is embedded in the Mayor's recovery missions.

I want to see London's night-time economy recover from the pandemic and come back stronger than before. I am a Londoner born and bred, and I remember a time when the words 'London' and 'nightlife' were intimately related. I am not sure this is the case now. London's night-time economy is one of our cultural gems, and makes enormous social and economic contribution to our city and country. It needs celebrating and promoting on the world stage.

"Definitely we would say that footfall is still much reduced... Confidence comes into that. It is about the ability of people to want to spend dwell-time. They have to have the confidence to come in, to go to the one destination that they want to go to, let us say that is a restaurant for a particular meal. But then it is about the confidence to stroll around the city, take the tube to other parts, spend dwell-time."

# Rowena Howie, Greater London Representative Federation of Small Businesses

"[The late-night economy has had] a fantastic bounce-back since the 19<sup>th</sup> [July]. It has been exceptional business. Many have utilised the opportunity and the desire – particularly for youth culture, the 850,000 new 18-year-olds that have had two years of none of this experience, including freshers, etc – to come in and try to do as many sessions as possible."

Michael Kill, CEO Night Time Industries Association December 2021

# **Executive Summary**

This report explores the impact of the pandemic on London's night-time economy and the challenges faced by the sector since reopening in the summer of 2021. It offers a series of recommendations for how the Mayor and Central Government can support the night-time economy to rebuild in the aftermath of COVID-19.

London's night-time businesses are key drivers of growth and employment, contributing £17bn-£26bn to the UK economy before the pandemic<sup>1</sup> and employing 594,200 workers in the cultural and leisure segment of the night-time economy alone.<sup>2</sup> London's nightlife is one of the most vibrant in the world, integral to the lifestyles of many Londoners and drawing in millions of domestic and international tourists every year.

The COVID-19 pandemic had a devastating impact upon the night-time economy. Between March 2020 and 19 July 2021 nightclubs were closed under the Government's lockdown laws. Businesses and venues such as pubs, restaurants, theatres and live music spaces were legally required to be fully closed, or to periodically open with only partial and socially distanced capacity. This was a hugely challenging time for night-time economy businesses, with many accruing significant debts and some struggling to survive despite benefitting from various government support measures, including the furlough scheme, business grants and the Culture Recovery Fund.

The night-time economy in London was permitted to fully reopen with the lifting of Government restrictions in England on 19 July 2021. Since then London's night-time venues have once again opened their doors to customers, and the sector has started to bounce back, with some business reporting a return to pre-pandemic levels of spending. But the situation remains precarious: footfall is still below pre-pandemic levels and advance bookings are lower than they should be for 2022.

At a meeting on 6 September 2021 and a subsequent roundtable on 7 October 2021, the Economy Committee heard from industry stakeholders and experts about the main challenges faced by night-time economy businesses. These included a lack of consumer confidence; a shortage of staff in parts of the workforce; the changing landscape as a result of the UK's exit from the EU; the impact of workers not returning to the office; reduced bookings for future months; and the confusion created by mixed messaging about restrictions such as vaccine passports.

This report makes a number of recommendations to the Mayor and Central Government to help rebuild consumer confidence, boost footfall and ensure that London's night-time economy can fully recover from the pandemic. These recommendations cover areas including the workforce, safety at night, the night tube and licensing arrangements. The report concludes by recommending that more should be done to celebrate and promote London's night-time

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The clubbing map: What has happened to London nightlife?, NESTA, 4 September 2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Night Time Economy in London and Manchester, 2001 to 2017, ONS, 23 March 2018

# Night Vision: Rebuilding London's Night-Time Economy - London Assembly Economy Committee

December 2021 7

economy, and that it should be seen as central to future domestic and international tourism campaigns.

"Although our costs are higher, I must say that our turnover has gone back to prepandemic levels, although the shape of the spend is very different. Mondays and Fridays are a lot quieter. Tuesdays, Wednesdays and Thursdays are a lot busier. That is because that is when people tend to go in to work. They are not going in five days a week. They tend to go in in the middle of the week."

### Paul Kohler, Owner CellarDoor Nightclub

"Our ticket sales are 20 per cent down and that is a consistent number throughout... Interestingly, for the quarter period from 1 July to 30 September [2021], we are 21 per cent up in terms of our income and in terms of what we generate."

### James Lindsay, Chief Executive Officer Royal Vauxhall Tavern

"Pre-booking of advance tickets beyond probably the end of this month is significantly down, much lower than we would expect for this period. It is about 35 per cent off where we would normally expect to be."

# Mark Davyd, Chief Executive Officer London Music Venue Trust

"We are finding that younger audiences are coming back in large numbers. Contemporary music gigs are selling out. Comedy is selling out. Talks aimed at younger audiences are selling out. Classical music has been much slower, and I know from the Arts Council that that is pretty widespread across the country. It suggests that older audiences might be more hesitant."

# Elaine Bedell, Chief Executive Officer Southbank Centre

December 2021

## **Recommendations**

#### Recommendation 1

The Mayor should set and publish targets on how many additional workers from the hospitality sector will be trained and helped into good-quality work as part of the Mayor's Academies Programme.

#### Recommendation 2

Hospitality and creative hubs within the Mayor's Academies Programme should prioritise skills provision in areas where there is a particular shortage, including door security and certain technical roles in the creative industries. The Mayor needs to work with industry to identify where these shortages are when providing skills to help Londoners into work.

#### **Recommendation 3**

The Mayor should work alongside employers and skills providers to improve perceptions and working conditions of jobs such as door supervisors and other roles in hospitality, in order to encourage more people to enter the sector. The Mayor, employers and providers should also work to improve the attractiveness of these jobs, ensuring they provide good-quality work and that there are progression opportunities in the sector, including through the Skills Academy hubs.

#### **Recommendation 4**

The Mayor and Central Government should work together to ensure the UK's new visa and immigration system following the UK leaving the EU is working in the right way to address London's skills and labour shortages in the night-time economy

#### **Recommendation 5**

The London Recovery Programme should include a strategy for supporting the night-time economy to build back from the pandemic. It should also explain how the success of this support will be measured.

#### **Recommendation 6**

The Mayor should demonstrate what measurable impact the Women's Night Safety Charter has had since its implementation, and set out an action plan to increase the number of organisations signed up to the Charter.

#### Recommendation 7

The Mayor should set out what action he is taking to improve safety for all groups in the context of the night-time economy.

December 2021

#### **Recommendation 8**

The Mayor and TfL should set out an appropriate timetable to ensure that the rest of the night tube returns as soon as is feasibly possible, given its importance to the recovery of the night-time economy, particularly in relation to feelings of personal safety. They should also provide as much notice as possible about this, to enable night-time economy businesses to plan for its return.

#### **Recommendation 9**

The Mayor and London Councils should work with Central Government to review London's licensing arrangements, to ensure that schemes work for both businesses and residents. This work should be undertaken this winter to allow plans to be put in place in time for summer 2022.

#### **Recommendation 10**

The Mayor should work with local authorities to investigate the feasibility of meanwhile use of vacant premises for night-time businesses and events.

#### **Recommendation 11**

There needs to be clear and consistent messaging from the Mayor and the Government going forwards about the safety of London's night-time industries in relation to COVID-19, in order to help rebuild confidence in the sector.

#### **Recommendation 12**

The Mayor and Central Government should do more to celebrate and promote London's night-time economy. The night-time economy should be seen as central to future domestic and international tourism campaigns. This should include the Mayor's future promotional activity once the Let's Do London campaign has concluded.

# The night-time economy workforce

#### **Recommendation 1**

The Mayor should set and publish targets on how many additional workers from the hospitality sector will be trained and helped into good-quality work as part of the Mayor's Academies Programme.

#### Recommendation 2

Hospitality and creative hubs within the Mayor's Academies Programme should prioritise skills provision in areas where there is a particular shortage, including door security and certain technical roles in the creative industries. The Mayor needs to work with industry to identify where these shortages are when providing skills to help Londoners into work.

#### **Recommendation 3**

The Mayor should work alongside employers and skills providers to improve perceptions and working conditions of jobs such as door supervisors and other roles in hospitality, in order to encourage more people to enter the sector. The Mayor, employers and providers should also work to improve the attractiveness of these jobs, ensuring they provide good-quality work and that there are progression opportunities in the sector, including through the Skills Academy hubs.

#### **Recommendation 4**

The Mayor and Central Government should work together to ensure the UK's new visa and immigration system following the UK leaving the EU is working in the right way to address London's skills and labour shortages in the night-time economy.

The shutdown of the night-time economy during the COVID-19 pandemic had a profound and long-lasting impact upon the sector's workforce. An inquiry carried out by the All-Party Parliamentary Group (APPG) for the Night Time Economy in February 2021 found that businesses in the night-time economy had on average made 37 per cent of their total workforce redundant; that 78 per cent of all employees in the sector had at some point been on furlough; and that 85 per cent of people working in the sector were considering leaving the industry.<sup>3</sup>

Even as the night-time economy started to open up in the summer of 2021, the lasting impact of the pandemic upon the workforce was still being felt. Research commissioned by the Night

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Covid-19 and UK nightlife, APPG for the Night Time Economy, February 2021

Time Industries Association in October 2021 estimated that 393,000 jobs have been lost across the night-time economy due to COVID-19, including 86,000 in the "night-time cultural economy", which includes nightclubs and theatres.<sup>4</sup>

Several industry stakeholders who spoke to the Committee also made clear that there is a considerable shortage of staff in parts of the night-time economy. The uncertainty generated by the pandemic has meant a lack of confidence about long-term job security; this has resulted in workers leaving the sector, and is deterring new entrants from joining. It is likely that the UK leaving the EU is also having an impact upon staff shortages, as the hospitality sector has often been reliant on labour from the EU. The Hospitality Insider Report has estimated that more than 92,000 workers from the EU have left the UK's hospitality sector since the start of the pandemic.<sup>5</sup> It was noted in the Committee's meeting that the Mayor and UKHospitality had called for a coronavirus recovery visa to accelerate the return of foreign workers to the hospitality industry.<sup>6</sup> The Committee heard that it is difficult to distinguish the impact of the UK leaving the EU from the impact of the pandemic upon staff shortages, given that these two events coincided. Going forward, it will be important that the new immigration system implemented after the UK's exit from the EU works and is able to address London's skills and labour shortages in the night-time economy.

Furthermore, as is well documented, the pandemic did not affect all Londoners or businesses equally. The diversity of London's night-time economy venues and workforce – including London's LGBT+ community and the city's ethnic diversity – is a core part of its significance. As Carolyn ML Forsyth, Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director at Talawa Theatre Company, told the Committee, it will be important to ensure "that we do not lose the diversity and representation that we have created".

Staff shortages are more acute for some roles in the night-time economy than others. The Committee heard about shortages in certain technical roles in the creative industries but also in roles such as marketing. One repeatedly mentioned area with staff shortages was door security, where the sector is operating at about 80 per cent of pre-COVID-19 levels. This is a particular concern given that venues have implemented additional public safety measures in response to the pandemic, such as checking of vaccination status or a recent negative test; these measures have capacity implications for door security.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Night-time cultural sector hit with 86,000 job losses due to Covid-19, The Guardian, 11 October 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> More than 92,000 EU workers estimated to have left UK's hospitality sector, The Caterer, 5 August 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Mayor pledges to tackle acute staff shortages in hospitality industry, Mayor of London, 3 June 2021

"We are seeing quite large shortages of staff at the moment. We are seeing shortages in some of the technical areas – stage management, sound, wardrobe – quite wide-ranging, but also some of the areas back-of-house. Marketing resource is a real scarcity."

# Julian Bird, Chief Executive Society of London Theatre

The Committee also heard that the negative perceptions that exist around certain jobs, including door supervisors, are acting as a barrier to attracting more workers into the night-time economy. Efforts therefore need to be made to change perceptions about these roles. This is particularly important given that the sector has traditionally been reliant on EU workers, but will have to attract more workers from the UK in the future. The attractiveness of roles such as these is vital too, and the quality of work and working conditions should be a point of focus for the Mayor and employers. The importance of pay and working conditions for night-time workers is something the Economy Committee has emphasised for a number of years, and formed a key part of the Economy Committee's 2018 report 'Rewrite the Night'.<sup>7</sup>

The Mayor has an important role to play in meeting the skills needs of night-time businesses, through his oversight of the Adult Education Budget and the Mayor's Academies Programme, which aims to support Londoners hardest hit by the pandemic into work in sectors key to London's recovery. Hospitality has been identified as one of the priority sectors, and it is vital that this programme focuses on skills training in areas where there are the greatest shortages. The Mayor should publish targets on how many additional workers from the hospitality sector will be trained and helped into good-quality work as part of the programme.

One of the key pillars of the Academies programme is the creation of 'Academy hubs' in particular sectors, which will bring together training providers, employers and other local partners. As well as delivering training, these hubs have a role to play in identifying where skills gaps exist, and in working to improve perceptions about certain roles in the night-time economy. Given the immediate need for staff in the night-time economy's hospitality and creative sectors, it is important that this work starts as soon as possible.

# The London Recovery Programme

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Rewrite the night: the future of London's night-time economy, London Assembly Economy Committee, February 2018

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Mayor's Academies Programme

#### **Recommendation 5**

The London Recovery Programme should include a strategy for supporting the night-time economy to build back from the pandemic. It should also explain how the success of this support will be measured.

The London Recovery Programme includes the aim of reversing 'the pattern of rising unemployment and lost economic growth caused by the economic scarring of COVID-19'. Overseen by the London Recovery Board, which is chaired jointly by the Mayor of London and the Chair of London Councils, it is comprised of nine recovery missions.<sup>9</sup>

The Committee heard from the Night Czar, Amy Lamé, that the London Recovery Programme includes some provisions that are relevant to the night-time economy, including the Good Work for All and High Streets for All missions. The High Streets For All Challenge Fund will support several initiatives to develop night-time strategies for high streets across the capital, including 19 projects with 'a night-time element' and 11 with 'significant night-time plans'. The Mayor and the Night Czar also launched the Night Time Data Observatory in March 2021, which contains research and data on London at night and aims to support local decision-makers in improving their night-time offer.<sup>10</sup>

Whilst these initiatives are welcome, there is no specific recovery mission for the night-time economy, and no specific performance indicators directly linked to the night-time economy as part of the other missions. Without a strategy with performance indicators, there is therefore a risk that attempts to support the night-time economy as part of the recovery programme are not sufficiently prioritised, joined-up or measurable.

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> London Recovery Programme: Overview Paper, GLA, October 2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Night Time Data Observatory, Mayor of London

# Personal safety at night

#### **Recommendation 6**

The Mayor should demonstrate what measurable impact the Women's Night Safety Charter has had since its implementation, and set out an action plan to increase the number of organisations signed up to the Charter.

#### Recommendation 7

The Mayor should set out what action he is taking to improve safety for all groups in the context of the night-time economy.

The Committee repeatedly heard that the return of consumer confidence was central to the full recovery of the night-time economy. Consumer confidence is intrinsically bound up with feelings of safety, both in respect to catching or spreading the COVID-19 virus, and from abuse as Londoners and visitors will only return to the capital's clubs, bars and theatres if they feel safe doing so.

The Committee heard that the Mayor is taking action to improve safety at night through the Women's Night Safety Charter and the Ask for Angela campaign. The Women's Night Safety Charter was created as part of the Mayor's Ending Violence Against Women and Girls Strategy and is part of London's commitment to the UN Women Safe Cities and Safe Public Spaces global initiative. It contains seven pledges, broadly grouped into issues around communication, training, and design or layout of a building or a public realm, and the Committee heard that new resources and training will be rolled out across 2022. Any organisation that operates between 6pm and 6am can sign up to the Charter, and it currently has more than 600 signatories. The Night Czar told that the Committee that the Women's Night Safety Charter is an 'inclusive charter', and recognises that a large proportion of women from ethnic minorities do work at night.

The Night Czar also told the Committee that the Ask for Angela campaign has been refreshed. People who feel unsafe, vulnerable or threatened can discreetly seek help by approaching venue staff and asking for 'Angela'. This code-phrase will indicate to staff that they require help with their situation, and a trained member of staff will then look to support and assist them.<sup>12</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Women's Night Safety Charter

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Ask for Angela, Metropolitan Police

The Committee welcomes these initiatives and would like to see evaluation of the impact of the Women's Night Safety Charter. The Mayor and the Night Czar should also outline how they intend to increase the number of organisations signed up to the Charter.

The safety of all Londoners must remain a priority. The Committee heard that personal safety for a number of groups across London – including, but not limited to, LGBT+ Londoners and Londoners from minority ethnic groups – remains a key concern, and the Mayor should set out what action he is taking to improve the safety of all groups in the context of the night-time economy.

## The night tube

#### **Recommendation 8**

The Mayor and TfL should set out an appropriate timetable to ensure that the rest of the night tube returns as soon as is feasibly possible, given its importance to the recovery of the night-time economy, particularly in relation to feelings of personal safety. They should also provide as much notice as possible about this, to enable night-time economy businesses to plan for its return.

The night tube was suspended at the start of the pandemic in an attempt to limit the spread of COVID-19, and did not immediately return when London's night-time businesses started to reopen. This was due to delays to driver training as a result of restrictions during the pandemic, and the need to coordinate with vital upgrades to the tube network and the necessary engineering closures.

The Committee heard from several guests that the return of the night tube is essential for the recovery of the night-time economy. The night tube is perceived by some as being safer than other modes of transport at night, and is therefore central to building customer confidence in going out at night. It is also a vital mode of transport for weekend workers in London's night-time businesses, who have been reliant on other modes of transport to get home from work.

"Clearly, for Friday night and Saturday night, getting the tube back up and running again is critical to all our business recoveries in London."

James Lindsay, Chief Executive Officer Royal Vauxhall Tavern

Geoff Hobbs, Director of Public Transport Service Planning at TfL, highlighted challenges around training tube drivers while social distancing requirements are in place, as well as the uncertainties around TfL's funding settlement. Recent income has also been negatively impacted because of the pandemic. The night tube returned on the Central and Victoria lines from 27 November, and some night-time overground services will resume from 17 December. The Mayor has said that other lines will reopen once enough staff are available. It is of vital importance to the night-time economy that this happens as soon as possible, and this was recognised by the London Assembly in November 2021 when Assembly Members unanimously called on the Mayor and TfL to set out an appropriate timetable for reopening all night tube services.<sup>13</sup>

# Licensing arrangements

#### **Recommendation 9**

The Mayor and London Councils should work with Central Government to review London's licensing arrangements, to ensure that schemes work for both businesses and residents. This work should be undertaken this winter to allow plans to be put in place in time for summer 2022.

#### **Recommendation 10**

The Mayor should work with local authorities to investigate the feasibility of meanwhile use of vacant premises for night-time businesses and events.

During the pandemic, the Government made it quicker and less expensive for businesses to get a temporary pavement licence, in order to support more 'al fresco dining'. In the Government's Hospitality Strategy, published in July 2021, ministers stated that they would 'extend these temporary easements and are considering their long-term future, with the aim of consulting on how to make them permanent'.<sup>14</sup>

The Committee heard that extending these licensing arrangements could significantly improve prospects for night-time businesses. This would need to be balanced against considerations of residents.

One of the highest-profile examples of a pavement licence scheme put in place during the pandemic was in Soho; the road closures that supported this scheme have now been removed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> London Assembly Motion: Night Tube, London Assembly, 11 November 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Hospitality Strategy: Reopening, Recovery, Resilience, BEIS, July 2021

Cllr Matthew Green, Cabinet Member for Business, Licensing and Planning at Westminster City Council, told the Committee that this scheme was always intended to be temporary, but noted that the council would like to redesign a scheme in cooperation with residents that allows al fresco dining to continue. The Committee would like to see the Mayor, Central Government and London Councils working together to explore options for the future of al fresco dining across London. This co-working to aid businesses' future sustainability aligns well with a previous call of the Economy Committee for the Mayor, business representatives and community representatives to work together to ensure that the Government's ongoing reform to business rates delivers for London's businesses.<sup>15</sup>

The Committee also heard that meanwhile use of vacant premises could be pivoted more towards the night-time economy to drive footfall. Meanwhile use of vacant units has grown in popularity in recent years, but this tends to be focused on the daytime economy in sectors such as arts and culture. Rowena Howie noted that meanwhile use 'is as valid in the night-time economy as it is in the daytime', and the Night Czar highlighted how meanwhile space can be particularly valuable in providing venues for groups such as London's LGBT+ community.

# Clarity of messaging

#### **Recommendation 11**

There needs to be clear and consistent messaging from the Mayor and the Government going forwards about the safety of London's night-time industries in relation to COVID-19, in order to help rebuild confidence in the sector.

The Committee heard that clarity of messaging from both the Government and the Mayor will be critical going forwards for building consumer confidence. Businesses have found mixed messaging about potential restrictions very unhelpful, for example, the Government's messaging around vaccine passports.

In July 2021, the Prime Minister announced that 'by the end of September, when all over-18s have had their chance to be double-jabbed we're planning to make full vaccination the condition of entry to nightclubs and other venues where large crowds gather.' On 12 September, the Health Secretary announced that plans for vaccine passports in England would not go ahead.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> High hopes: Supporting London's high streets in the economic recovery from COVID-19, London Assembly Economy Committee, March 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Covid: Two jabs needed to enter nightclubs from September, BBC News, 19 July 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> England vaccine passport plans ditched, Sajid Javid says, BBC News, 12 September 2021

Businesses would particularly benefit from unified messaging from national and local government about the safety of London's night-time economy in relation to COVID-19. Elaine Bedell, Chief Executive Officer at the Southbank Centre, told the Committee that she would like to see 'some very clear messages and also, specifically from London, that London is safe and it is safe to come back.'<sup>18</sup>

"When it comes to customer confidence... it is not helping at all to keep hearing that there might be a plan B and plan B might involve these things, which seem to change day by day. We could do with some consistent and clear messaging. We could also do with some slightly controlled messaging, frankly."

Mark Davyd, Chief Executive Officer London Music Venue Trust

# Reputation and marketing of the night-time economy

#### **Recommendation 12**

The Mayor and Central Government should do more to celebrate and promote London's night-time economy. The night-time economy should be seen as central to future domestic and international tourism campaigns. This should include the Mayor's future promotional activity once the Let's Do London campaign has concluded.

"On communications, the one thing we have all learned is that very clear communications from anybody in authority is absolutely essential."

Julian Bird, Chief Executive Society of London Theatre

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Please note that the evidence for this report was taken over September and October 2021, before the identification of the Omicron variant. At the time of publication in early December 2021, the impact of the Omicron variant is still emerging.

# Night Vision: Rebuilding London's Night-Time Economy - London Assembly Economy Committee

December 2021 19

London's night-time businesses are key drivers of growth and employment, contributing £17bn-£26bn to the UK economy before the pandemic<sup>19</sup> and employing 594,200 workers in the cultural and leisure segment of the night-time economy alone.<sup>20</sup>

These measures of economic output do not capture the enormous social and cultural value of London's night-time economy. London's nightlife is one of the most vibrant in the world, integral to the lifestyles of many Londoners, and drawing in millions of domestic and international tourists every year. This tourism has been heavily impacted by the pandemic. Let's Do London, the £6m tourism recovery campaign led by the Mayor and London & Partners, is designed to encourage Londoners and visitors back into Central London, and bring together 'the capital's world-leading hospitality, culture, nightlife and retail venues to promote all London has to offer'.

The Committee heard that the pull factor of London's night-time industries, and the cultural and economic value they generate, are not always appreciated. Several representatives in the sector said that they would like to see more done to promote and celebrate London's night-time economy. As Michael Kill, CEO of the Night Time Industries Association, told the Committee:

"If I was going to ask one thing, and believe that there is something the London Mayor can do to push forward, [it] is to start considering that counter-culture, the youth culture that resonates around it, the export value that it brings in terms of nightlife tourism and the impetus for people to travel domestically and potentially look to start to deliver and celebrate something that London does fantastically above all else across the world."

This was echoed by Julien Bird, the Chief Executive of the Society of London Theatre, who said:

"It is about the messaging internationally that London is a safe place and it is a vibrant place. Most of us believe in terms of culture and the night-time economy, we are one of the world leaders in that and let us celebrate that in terms of bringing people back to our city."

London's night-time economy should form a key of the city's offer to domestic and international tourism in marketing campaigns from the Mayor and Central Government.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> The clubbing map: What has happened to London nightlife?, NESTA, 4 September 2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> The Night Time Economy in London and Manchester, 2001 to 2017, ONS, 23 March 2018

# About the investigation

The Economy Committee held two evidence gathering sessions with expert guests and industry stakeholders: a Committee meeting in September 2021 and a roundtable in October 2021. We would like to extend our thanks to all those who took part:

Matt Arnold, Director of External Relations, Heart of London BID

Elaine Bedell, Chief Executive Officer, Southbank Centre

Julian Bird, Chair, Society of London Theatre

Mark Davyd, Chair, London Music Venue Trust

**Cllr Matthew Green**, Cabinet Member for Business, Licensing and Planning, Westminster City Council

Geoff Hobbs, Director of Public Transport Planning, Transport for London

Rowena Howie, London Policy Representative, Federation of Small Businesses

Michael Kill, Chief Executive Officer, Night Time Industries Association

Paul Kohler, Owner, CellarDoor Nightclub

Amy Lamé, Night Czar

James Lindsay, Chief Executive Officer, Royal Vauxhall Tavern

**Carolyn ML Forsyth**, Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director, Talawa Theatre Company

Simon Thomas, Chief Executive Officer, Hippodrome Casino

# Other formats and languages

If you, or someone you know needs this report in large print or braille, or a copy of the summary and main findings in another language, then please call us on: 020 7983 4100 or email <a href="mailto:assembly.translations@london.gov.uk">assembly.translations@london.gov.uk</a>

#### Chinese

如您需要这份文件的简介的翻译本, 请电话联系我们或按上面所提供的邮寄地址或 Email 与我们联系。

#### Vietnamese

Nếu ông (bà) muốn nội dung văn bản này được dịch sang tiếng Việt, xin vui lòng liên hệ với chúng tôi bằng điện thoại, thư hoặc thư điện tử theo địa chi ở trên.

#### Greek

Εάν επιθυμείτε περίληψη αυτού του κειμένου στην γλώσσα σας, παρακαλώ καλέστε τον αριθμό ή επικοινωνήστε μαζί μας στην ανωτέρω ταχυδρομική ή την ηλεκτρονική διεύθυνση.

#### Turkish

Bu belgenin kendi dilinize çevrilmiş bir özetini okumak isterseniz, lütfen yukarıdaki telefon numarasını arayın, veya posta ya da e-posta adresi aracılığıyla bizimle temasa geçin.

#### **Punjabi**

ਜੇ ਤੁਸੀਂ ਇਸ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਦਾ ਸੰਖੇਪ ਆਪਣੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਵਿਚ ਲੈਣਾ ਚਾਹੋ, ਤਾਂ ਕਿਰਪਾ ਕਰਕੇ ਇਸ ਨੰਬਰ 'ਤੇ ਫ਼ੋਨ ਕਰੋ ਜਾਂ ਉਪਰ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਡਾਕ ਜਾਂ ਈਮੇਲ ਪਤੇ 'ਤੇ ਸਾਨੂੰ ਸੰਪਰਕ ਕਰੋ।

#### Hindi

यदि आपको इस दस्तावेज का सारांश अपनी भाषा में चाहिए तो उपर दिये हुए नंबर पर फोन करें या उपर दिये गये डाक पते या ई मेल पते पर हम से संपर्क करें।

#### Bengali

আপনি যদি এই দলিলের একটা সারাংশ নিজের ভাষায় পেতে চান, তাহলে দয়া করে ফো করবেন অথবা উল্লেখিত ডাক ঠিকানায় বা ই-মেইল ঠিকানায় আমাদের সাথে যোগাযোগ করবেন।

#### Urdu

اگر آپ کو اس دستاویز کا خلاصہ اپنی زبان میں درکار ہو تو، براہ کرم نمبر پر فون کریں یا مذکورہ بالا ڈاک کے پتے یا ای میل پتے پر ہم سے رابطہ کریں۔

#### Arabic

ال حصول على ملخص ل هذا المستند بل ختك، فرجاء ال التصال على فرجاء ال التصال على الدين وان البريد العنوان البريد الله الله الله الكاروني أعلاه.

#### Gujarati

જો તમારે આ દસ્તાવેજનો સાર તમારી ભાષામાં જોઈતો હોય તો ઉપર આપેલ નંભર પર ફોન કરો અથવા ઉપર આપેલ ૮પાલ અથવા ઈ-મેઈલ સરનામા પર અમારો સંપર્ક કરો.

22 December 2021

# Connect with us

### **The London Assembly**

City Hall The Queen's Walk More London London SE1 2AA

Website: <a href="https://www.london.gov.uk/abous-us/london-assembly">www.london.gov.uk/abous-us/london-assembly</a>

Phone: 020 7983 4000

#### Follow us on social media









# **Subject: Apprenticeships in London**

Report to:	Economy Committee
Report of:	Executive Director of Assembly Secretariat
Date:	3 February 2022
Public Access:	This report will be considered in public

### 1. Summary

1.1 This report provides background for the Economy Committee meeting focusing on apprenticeships.

#### 2. Recommendations

- 2.1 That the Committee notes the report as background to putting questions to invited quests and notes the subsequent discussion.
- 2.2 That the Committee delegates authority to the Chairman, in consultation with party Group Lead Members, to agree any output arising from the discussion.

### 3. Background

- 3.1 The apprenticeship system in England has undergone substantial reform in recent years. Since 2014, new apprenticeship 'standards' have been introduced and have replaced the old system of 'frameworks', which have gradually been phased out. Standards are seen as more employer-led than frameworks, which were primarily qualification-focused.<sup>1</sup>
- 3.2 The apprenticeship levy came into effect in 2017. All employers with a pay bill over £3 million must pay a levy of 0.5% of the employer's pay bill, minus an allowance of £15,000 per financial year. These funds, which are topped up by the Government by 10%, can then be spent by the employer on apprenticeship training and assessment (but not wages or other associated costs). Unspent levy funds are spent by the Government on apprenticeship training for non-levy paying employers, who must contribute 5% towards these costs.<sup>2</sup>

City Hall, Kamal Chunchie Way, London, E16 1ZE Enquiries: 020 7983 4100 <a href="https://www.london.gov.uk">www.london.gov.uk</a>

Page 83 V2/2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Apprenticeships and skills policy in England, House of Commons Library, September 2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Apprenticeships and skills policy in England, House of Commons Library, September 2020

- 3.3 Employers have expressed support for the apprenticeship levy and the principle of a more employer-led system.<sup>3</sup> However, businesses have also called for reform of the levy, in particular highlighting the barriers it has created for smaller businesses and the inflexibility of the system.<sup>4</sup>
- 3.4 The Government has said that reforms to the apprenticeship system have led to an increase in quality and ensured that apprenticeships are genuinely employer-led. However, overall apprenticeship numbers have fallen since the introduction of the apprenticeship levy and the introduction of apprenticeship standards. In 2020/21, the number of apprenticeship starts in London was 17% lower than in 2016/17, the year before the levy was introduced. The fall has been particularly sharp for younger apprentices and apprentices at Level 2. Nationally, the number of Level 2 apprenticeships has fallen by 62% since 2016/17 and the number of apprentices under the age of 19 has fallen by 47%. Research from the Social Mobility Commission found that there had been a 36% decline in apprenticeship starts by people from disadvantaged backgrounds, compared with 23% for others.
- 3.5 Apprenticeship numbers dropped both in terms of starts and completions due to the impact of national lockdowns. 10 Research carried out during the first lockdown found that just 39% of apprenticeships were continuing as normal, with 36% having been furloughed and 8% made redundant. 11
- As part of the Plan for Jobs, published in July 2020, the Government announced that employers hiring apprentices would receive additional payments of £1,500 £2,000 per apprentice. These incentive payments, which have since been increased to £3,000 per apprentice, will expire in January 2022. The Government has since announced the creation of new 'flexi-job apprenticeships', set to launch in early 2022, which will enable apprentices to move between projects in sectors where project-based work is the norm. The sectors where project-based work is the norm.
- 3.7 The London Progression Collaboration (LPC) was launched in February 2020. Delivered jointly by the Greater London Authority (GLA) and Institute for Public Policy Research with support from the JP Morgan Foundation, the LPC provides support and advice to employers to help them create more apprenticeships. The LPC has a target of creating 1,098 apprenticeships between 2019 and 2021. The Mayor has said that "the LPC has facilitated the transfer of over £5.3 million of unspent apprenticeship levy from large employers to non levy-paying employers to create hundreds of new high-quality apprenticeships." <sup>14</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The open letter from business leaders you need to read right now, Chartered Management Institute (CMI), June 2018

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Apprenticeships and skills policy in England, House of Commons Library, September 2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Progress report on the apprenticeships reform programme, DfE, July 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> <u>Apprenticeships and traineeships: Academic Year 2020/21</u>. In 2016/17, there were 44,380 starts in London, compared to 36,900 in 2020/21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> <u>Apprenticeships and traineeships: Academic Year 2020/21</u>. In 2016/17, there were 487,200 starts in England at Intermediate Level (Level 2), compared to 185,400 in 2020/21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> <u>Apprenticeships and traineeships: Academic Year 2020/21</u>. In 2016/17, there were 122,750 starts by apprentices under the age of 19, compared to 65,150 in 2020/21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Apprenticeships and social mobility, Social Mobility Commission, June 2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Progress report on the apprenticeships reform programme, DfE, July 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> COVID-19 and Social Mobility Impact Brief #3: Apprenticeships, Sutton Trust, May 2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Plan for Jobs, HM Treasury, July 2020

<sup>13 &#</sup>x27;Flexi-job' apprenticeships: What are they are how will they work? FE Week, March 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The urgent need for apprenticeships, 27 May 2021, May 2021

3.8 The Mayor's 2021 manifesto noted that "apprenticeships are crucial for many Londoners — I'll continue to champion them, ensuring they are available for all Londoners regardless of background, as well as promoting their use within the GLA family." He has also said that he will "promote the creation of quality apprenticeships through my 'Helping Londoners into Good Work' recovery mission". 16

#### 4. Issues for Consideration

- 4.1 The Committee's investigation will seek to understand:
  - The role that apprenticeships can play in providing both a pathway into employment and upskilling for those already in work, particularly for disadvantaged groups;
  - The impact of the apprenticeship levy and other recent changes to the apprenticeship system in London, including the reasons behind the recent fall in numbers, and whether further reform to the apprenticeship levy and the wider system is needed;
  - The impact of the pandemic on apprentices and the wider apprenticeship system;
  - What action central government and the Mayor can take to improve access to apprenticeships, particularly for younger, more disadvantaged and ethnic minority groups; and
  - The impact of the LPC and other Mayoral initiatives relating to apprenticeships; and future Mayoral plans relating to apprenticeships.
- 4.2 Members will question the following guests:
  - Jules Pipe CBE, Deputy Mayor for Planning, Regeneration and Skills;
  - Michelle Cuomo-Boorer, Assistant Director for Skills and Employment, GLA;
  - Stephen Evans, Chief Executive, Learning and Work Institute; and
  - Simon Ashworth, Director of Policy, Association of Employment and Learning Providers (AELP) and AELP London Chair.

### 5. Legal Implications

5.1 The Committee has the power to do what is recommended in the report.

### 6. Financial Implications

6.1 There are no direct financial implications to the GLA arising from this report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Sadiq for London manifesto, 2021

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The urgent need for apprenticeships, 27 May 2021, May 2021

# List of appendices to this report:

None

## Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

List of Background Papers:

None

## **Contact Information**

Contact Officer:	Dan Tattersall, Senior Policy Adviser
Telephone:	07783 805825
E-mail:	Daniel.Tattersall@london.gov.uk

# **Subject: Economy Committee Work Programme**

Report to:	Economy Committee
Report of:	Executive Director of Assembly Secretariat
Date:	3 February 2022
Public Access:	This report will be considered in public

### 1. Summary

1.1 This report sets out the work programme for the Economy Committee for February to March 2022 of the 2021/22 Assembly year.

#### 2. Recommendation

2.1 That the Committee notes its work programme for the remainder of the 2021/22 Assembly year.

### 3. Background

- 3.1 The Committee receives a report monitoring the progress of its work programme at each meeting.
- 3.2 The Committee's work programme is intended to enable the Committee to effectively fulfil its role: To examine and report on matters relating to economic development, culture, skills, sport and tourism in London and to lead on scrutiny of the Mayor's Economic Development Strategy, the Mayor's Culture Strategy and Adult Education.

Page 87 v2/2021

### 4. Issues for Consideration

4.1 The Committee's programme currently includes the meeting slots set out in the table below.

### **Schedule of Meetings**

Meeting Date	Meeting Topic
3 February 2022	Apprenticeships
15 March 2022	TBC

## 5. Legal Implications

5.1 The Committee has the power to do what is recommended in this report.

### 6. Financial Implications

6.1 There are no direct financial implications to the GLA arising from this report.

## List of appendices to this report:

None

# Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

List of Background Papers:

None

### **Contact Information**

Contact Officer:	Dan Tattersall, Senior Policy Adviser
Telephone:	07783 805825
E-mail:	Daniel.Tattersall@london.gov.uk